102 MONITOR

Council on Environmental Quality Washington D.C.

Volume 7, No. 6
July/August, 1977

PRESIDENT CARTER RETAINS, STRENGTHENS CEQ

Excerpts from the President's Plan for the Reorganization of the Executive Office of the President, Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1977, relating to CEQ's strengthened role in the Executive Office appear on pages 3-4.

CEQ CHAIRMAN WARREN TESTIFIES BEFORE SENATE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS ON REORGANIZATION PLAN NO. 1

Chairman Warren's testimony is reprinted beginning on page 5. Selected portions of the testimony of Burt Lance, OMB Director, on the Reorganization Plan immediately follow.

COUNCILMEMBER SPETH SPEAKS ON NEPA REFORM AND THE ROLE OF CEQ

Addressing the National Association of Environmental Professionals, Gus Speth emphasized NEPA's role in significantly improving Federal decision-making, in improving coordination among Federal agencies and cooperation with local and state governments, and in providing a mechanism for involving the public in government decisions. Turn to page 18.

Contents: see inside cover

CONTENTS

Excerpts from Reorganization Plan	3
Chairman Warren's Testimony	5
Excerpts from Lance Testimony	16
NEPA Reform and the Role of CEQ	18
State-County Index of EISs Filed with CEQ During June, 1977	35
Agency Index of EISs Filed with CEQ During June, 1977	41
Statements Filed During June, 1977	46
Cummulative Summary	94
EPA Comments	95
Subscription Information	136

EXCERPTS FROM THE PRESIDENT'S PLAN FOR

THE REORGANIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

July 15, 1977

In his Plan for the Reorganization of the Executive Office of the President, Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1977, President Carter refers to the ongoing and strengthened role of CEQ as an advisory body:

> - The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) should remain in the EOP as an environmental adviser to the President. The CEQ's major purpose is to provide an independent assessment of our policies for improving the environment. Toward this end, it will analyze long term trends and conditions in the environmant. It will advise OMB on the reorganization of natural resources functions within the Federal government. The Council will retain the functions it now has under NEPA and the Executive Order 11514 with the exception of routine review of the adequacy of impact statements and the administrative aspects of their receipt and handling. The EPA will take over CEQ's evaluation responsibility under the Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research Development Act of 1974. The CEQ will continue to review and publish the Annual Report on Environmental Quality.

As the President's principal staff institution, the EOP will, as a whole, continue to:

- Provide day-to-day operational support (e.g. scheduling, appointments) and help the President communicate with the public, the Congress, and the press.

- Manage the budget and coordinate Administration positions on matters before the Congress.

- Manage the Presidential decisionmaking processes efficiently and fairly, and bring the President the widest possible range of opinions.

- Help the President: plan and set priorities; monitor and evaluate progress toward achieving the President's objectives; understand and resolve major conflicts among line subordinates; manage crises, especially in national security matters.

- In order to restructure the EOP around these basic functions, the functions of seven units should be discontinued or transferred, and ten units, including the White House Office, should be retained but modified.

Seven units should be discontinued or their functions transferred. These are:

1. Office of Drug Abuse Policy
2. Office of Telecommunications Policy
3. Council on International Economic Policy
4. Federal Property Council
5. Energy Resources Council
6. Economic Opportunity Council
7. Domestic Council

The ten units which will continue with some modification are:

1. White House Office
2. Office of the Vice President
3. Office of Management and Budget
4. Council on Environmental Quality
5. Council of Economic Advisers
6. Office of Science and Technology
7. Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations
8. National Security Council
9. Intelligence Oversight Board
10. Council on Wage and Price Stability

STATEMENT OF CHARLES WARREN
CHAIRMAN, COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
ON REORGANIZATION PLAN NO. 1 OF 1977, AUGUST 1, 1977

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today to testify on the Reorganization Plan of the Executive Office of the President (Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1977).

Since this is my first appearance before this Committee, I want you to know that my colleagues and I look forward to working with you as consideration is given by us and the Office of Management and Budget to the long term reorganization of the environment and natural resources functions within the federal government.

Although some of your Members, particularly Senators Jackson and Muskie, are intimately familiar with the establishment of the Council, some of the Members of the Committee may not be aware of all of our statutory responsibilities. I would like to review these briefly and indicate our plans for ensuring that they are carried out after the proposed reorganization.

The Council's assigned duties and responsibilities are contained principally in the National Environmental Policy Act (P.L. 91-190), the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-224), and Executive Order 11514. These duties and responsibilities require the Council:

- to advise the President on environmental issues, and to develop and recommend to the President national policies "to foster and promote the improvement of environmental quality to meet the conservation, social, economic, health, and other requirements and goals of the Nation." (NEPA Sec. 204(4));

- to review and appraise federal programs and activities to determine the extent they contribute to achieving the national environmental policy (stated in NEPA); and to identify deficiencies, help set priorities, and oversee federal agencies' implementation of the letter and spirit of NEPA, including its environmental impact statement requirement;

- to coordinate federal policies, programs and activities relating to environmental quality which cut across government agencies, and to seek to resolve interagency conflicts on significant environmental issues; and

- to analyze overall conditions and long term trends in environmental quality, and to assist the President in preparing the annual Environmental Quality Report.

As you can see, we have a wide reaching mandate to advise and assist the President and the Nation on the quality of the human environment from a global as well as national perspective. In fact, from the standpoint of NEPA alone, the Council has provided advice to several nations, who have now

enacted or plan to enact laws similar to our own National Environmental Policy Act.

In addition to our charter legislation, the Congress has subsequently given the Council several other responsibilities including:

- evaluating the adequacy of research efforts on energy conservation and the environmental impacts of non-nuclear energy development by the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA), including public hearings on preparation of reports on the evaluation (Sec. 11 of the Non-Nuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-577));

- evaluating the adequacy of the environmental impact statements and the acceptability of the alternative routes proposed for transporting natural gas from Alaska, including public hearings and preparation of a report (Sec. 6(d) and 8(f) of the Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Act of 1976, P.L. 94-586); and

- coordinating toxic substances information for federal agencies and determining the feasibility of classifying toxic substances (Sec. 25(b) of the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976, P.L. 94-469).

I would like to describe briefly the way the Council is organized to handle these responsibilties. The Council is composed of two Members, in addition to the Chairman, and the three of us will work closely in the development of the Council's program. The remainder of the staff is divided into a General Counsel's office; a small administrative support staff; an international and scientific affairs staff; and various program areas including, Public Lands and Natural Resources, Environmental Health and Monitoring, Pollution and Economic Analysis, NEPA and Land Use, and Energy. Each of these units, having two-to-three professional staff members, also assists in carrying out the Council's NEPA policy development, research and monitoring, and other responsibilities.

Under the President's reorganization proposal, the following functions will be transferred from CEQ:

(1) Those functions relating to the evaluation provided for by Section 11 of the Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 (see Section 5(E) of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1977); and

(2) Those functions relating to the routine review of environmental impact statements and the administrative aspects of their handling and receipt (see President's Message to the Congress on EOP Reorganization, July 15, 1977).

As the President's July 15 Message indicated, these two functions will be transferred to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The "transfer" of certain NEPA "functions" actually involves having EPA carry out some of the administrative activities which the Council assumed over the years in its effort to make NEPA part of all federal operations. For example, activities such as the filing and routine review of impact statements are not required by statute to be carried out by CEQ although it has been doing so. Now that the impact statement process is well establishe the Council believes that some of these administrative and ministerial matters do not need to be handled by it.

With regard to the administrative handling of impact statemen I should point out that NEPA simply requires that the statements "be made available" to the President and the Council; it does not require statements actually to be "filed" with the Council. Furthermore, the Council expects EPA to assume a greater role in assisting CEQ and the agencies and in advising other interested parties concerning the administrative aspects of the environmental impact statement process. EPA will have the primary responsibility for responding to inquiries and otherwise dealing with questions raised on individual projects and their impact statements. None of the Council's present authorities with

respect to NEPA, including E.O. 11514, as recently amended by E.O. 11991, would be affected by the Reorganization Plan.

The third CEQ activity which will be somewhat modified by the reorganization is the preparation of the annual Environmental Quality Report created by Section 201 of NEPA. The Act requires the Council to assist the President in preparing the report, it does not require in-house preparation by the Council, which has become the practice in recent years. In the future, the Annual Report will be under the supervision of the Council, but much of the actual preparation will be done by other agencies.

Fulfilling these three functions required the equivalent of eight full-time staff members. On this basis, the proposed reorganization would reduce CEQ's staff from the 40 positions authorized in the FY 78 budget to 32 positions, as indicated in the White House fact sheet accompanying the EOP Reorganization Plan. We believe this reduction accurately reflects the minor changes in our function discussed above.

Let me spend a few moments going into more detail on one of the Council's most important, but perhaps one of our most misunderstood, activities: our role under NEPA and the environmental impact statement process. As you know, NEPA requires far more than the preparation by federal agencies

of environmental impact statements for major federal actions. The Act provides essential management and decisionmaking tools for every federal official. It is the Council's duty and function to make sure that NEPA's three main objectives are met: (1) that federal officials consider environmental factors by integrating environmental analysis with their planning and decisionmaking; (2) that federal officials make individual decisions which are in accordance with the national environmental policy; and (3) that federal programs are designed and implemented in ways which preserve and enhance environmental quality. The impact statement process is one important mechanism for doing this.

The Council's role in the impact statement process has also sometimes been misunderstood. Section 102(2)(C) of the Act requires that all agencies of the Federal Government prepare detailed environmental statements on proposals for legislation and other major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and that these statements be made available to the Council and to the public. Executive Order 11514 charges the Council with instructing Federal agencies on how to implement this section of the Act in a consistent fashion. The Council does not generally review individual impact statements or determine their adequacy. The Council does not have veto authority over other agencies' projects. The Council will, of course, use impact

statements to review agency programs, develop environmental policies, and bring about better compliance with NEPA's procedures and substantive policies.

The Council's essential role in the impact statement process, then, is to develop a good set of directions to federal agencies on how to administer this requirement effectively and consistently throughout the government, and to resolve significant questions in the interpretation of the law.

The President was cognizant of this role when he recently directed the Council to issue regulations, binding on federal agencies, for the implementation of NEPA's procedural requirements. (E.O. 11514, Secs. 2(g) and 3(h), March 5, 1970). The Order emphasized the need to focus on "real environmental issues and alternatives" and to reduce excessive paperwork. The Order also required the Council to include in its regulations "procedures for the early preparation of environmental impact statements, and for the referral to the Council of conflicts between agencies concerning the implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, for the Council's recommendation as to their prompt resolution." We believe that this mandate and

our regulations will significantly improve NEPA's effectiveness and will lay to rest criticism to the effect that the Council had inadequate authority to enforce the impact statement requirement, and that the status of the Council's guidelines was uncertain, causing uneven interpretation of the law.

Knowing the concerns of some of the Members of this Committee concerning the Council's responsibilities and past performance, I would like to report some current developments to you which may bear on your deliberations. I think it is important to point out that, since this Administration has come into office, the Council (1) has assisted the President in preparing the most comprehensive Environmental Message ever issued and the first Message since 1973; (2) has initiated and participated in comprehensive and long range policy review in several areas; (3) has now made available a major breakthrough in environmental monitoring capabilities; and (4) has taken important steps, including holding extremely valuable and successful hearings, toward making the environmental impact statement process work more effectively.

The Council has also followed through on the additional responsibilities the Congress has given us, which I mentioned earlier. After a long and careful process we have completed

the review, hearings, and report required on the Alaska natural gas transportation route. I am glad to report that the impact statement process itself generated important alternative routes for consideration. In the toxics areas, the Council is now developing an interagency program to eliminate overlaps and fill gaps in the collection of data on toxic chemicals and coordinating federal research and regulatory activities affecting them, as required by the Environmental Message.

We have also been working with the President and his personal staff in developing policies and programs, and regularly attend and participate in the President's Cabinet meetings.

In summary, the Congress believed it was essential in 1970 for the Council to be located in the Executive Office for several reasons: (a) to facilitiate direct access to the President and, in turn, to serve in a staff capacity to him and the Cabinet; (b) to maintain an independent orientation in order to provide impartial advice and increased credibility both inside and outside the government; (c) to serve as a counterbalance to other EOP units whose traditional concerns were viewed as biased toward budgetary and fiscal considerations; (d) to maintain an overview of all relevant Federal plans, programs, functions, and resources to ensure

observance of NEPA requirements; (e) to serve a policy review and formulation function with regard to those agencies directly responsible for administering major environmental programs; and (f) to undertake and integrate ongoing and long-term analyses of environmental conditions and trends. These reasons are equally important today.

The President recognizes this, and we expect the EOP Reorganization Plan to result in a strengthening of our role. We at the Council will do our best to ensure that the Congress' intent is met to create a Council at the high level of government to advise and assist the President in developing and implementing national environmental policy. We support the President's Reorganization Plan.

Thank you.

EXCERPTS FROM TESTIMONY OF BERT LANCE
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
BEFORE SENATE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
ON REORGANIZATION PLAN NO. 1 OF 1977

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee -

I am pleased to appear on behalf of President Carter's Plan for the Reorganization of the Executive Office of the President. This Plan, submitted to the Congress on July 15, is the first of a series of reorganization plans dealing with the Executive Branch of Government. Reorganization Plan Number 1 deals with the Executive Office of the President and reflects the President's decision to begin with the offices closest to him and to scrutinize these activities closely.

This first plan is a small but very important step toward our goal of a leaner, more effective and responsive government. The Executive Office of the President is the source of close support for the President. The plan reflects the President's goal of focussing the resources of the Executive Office on those activities which must be addressed there. It complies with the President's directive to find ways to enhance the role of department and agency heads in the review and determination of government policy. It also reflects the view that the President is better served by a smaller group of close support staff whose primary role is to assure that the full resources of the

government are brought to bear on Presidential decisions and who can help him perform his responsibilities as Chief Executive Officer.

* * *

Council on Environmental Quality Reorganization

The Administration's commitment to a strong environmental protection policy has been well documented in the President's May 23, 1977 Environmental Message. To help carry out this commitment, the Council on Environmental Quality will be retained in the EOP under the Reorganization Plan.

The Council on Environmental Quality's major purposes have been to provide advice to the President on environmental issues and to assist him in developing and recommending policies which further environmental quality. It has also been involved in interagency coordination, overseeing NEPA guidelines for Federal agencies and their programs, and certain other operational functions ...

[I]t should be emphasized that the reorganization and redefinition of the Council's role will in no way downgrade the importance of the Council in the development of the Administration's environmental policies. By sharpening and focussing this role, we believe that the Council can be more effective in formulating policy at the Executive level.

Remarks by Gus Speth, Member
President's Council on Environmental Quality
Washington, D.C.

Before the
National Association of Environmental Professionals
Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C.
Thursday, June 30, 1977

NEPA Reform and the Role of CEQ

Last month, according to a wire story carried by United Press International, three residents of Angola, Louisiana asked a court to halt construction of a nuclear power plant nearby on the ground that it would damage the environment. Such stories appear with increasing frequency these days; what made this story unusual was that the three petitioners were inmates at the Louisiana State prison.

This humble tale may suggest how widely an environmental consciousness has permeated our common life. The growth of that consciousness is even more remarkable considering the brief period in which it occurred. While a formal conservation movement in this country can be traced back to 1872, when Congress created Yellowstone National Park, the environmental movement

in the proper sense of that term is of much more recent vintage. As good a date as any, I suggest, would be Earth Day of 1969; citizens' demonstrations throughout the country proved that a concern for the health of our habitat was not restricted to scientists nor to traditional conservation groups, but cut across virtually every occupational, socio-economic, and age group. And by the end of that year, the United States had a law which translated broad environmental concern into policy: the National Environmental Policy Act.

We have now had six and-a-half years of experience with NEPA, and with its most visible offshoot, the environmental impact statement. Though there's nothing magic about that period of time, the arrival of a new Administration provides an opportune moment for looking back and assessing our experience, as well as for looking ahead: How well has NEPA served the country? Has it been worth the time, trouble, and money we have invested in it? What lessons does it offer for the future?

We might begin by looking at the negative side of the picture. The most common objections to the EIS have been these: it delays projects, it increases project costs, and it stimulates litigation.

Because CEQ is charged with monitoring implementation of NEPA by Federal agencies, and with making the EIS process work

smoothly, the Council undertook an intensive, 18-month study in 1975. The results, published last year, are probably known to most of you, so I will simply highlight the most important conclusions.

First, there is no question that in the first year or two after NEPA was passed, project delays did occur. Virtually any new policy entails some delay, as Federal and private project managers adapt traditional procedures to changed rules. But this predictable pause for a shifting of gears was prolonged in the case of NEPA because Congress did not insert a "grandfather clause" in the Act.

This meant that agencies with projects well into the planning or even construction phase had to halt work on any project that would have significant environmental effects, and prepare an EIS on each of them -- in a very short time. In 1971 alone, for example, the Department of Transportation filed 1,293 draft environmental impact statements -- the great majority on projects undertaken or authorized before NEPA was passed. In 1975, by contrast, DOT filed only 229 draft EIS's -- about one-fifth the number of the peak year. In 1971, Federal agencies filed a total of almost 2,000 draft EIS's -- twice the number they filed last year. This sudden workload, imposed on agencies that had little

opportunity to staff for NEPA, created a backlog that undeniably did lead to delays in project approvals.

But the lack of a "grandfather clause" exempting well-advanced projects from NEPA requirements also created a more subtle problem -- that continued to hamper the effective operation of the EIS process. Federal managers who had already invested considerable time and money in a project wrote their EIS's to justify decisions that had already been made. This prevented any genuine questioning from an environmental perspective of the economic and technical assumptions on which a project had been based. Thus the delay occasioned by EIS preparation seemed doubly useless -- and the EIS itself acquired a reputation, in those early days, for being an irrelevant exercise in meaningless, expensive paperwork. It has not yet entirely outlived that reputation.

These days, however, the initial backlog of EIS's is virtually gone. Those submitted now are overwhelmingly for actions proposed after NEPA was passed.

As part of its study of the delay issue, CEQ asked Federal agencies to estimate the time required to prepare a draft EIS. Given the variety of projects undertaken by Federal agencies, the times varied greatly -- from a minimum of one month for

several agencies to a maximum of several years for others.

In a properly run agency that takes NEPA seriously, however, the preparation of an EIS need not be an addition to normal planning processes. Rather, environmental analysis proceeds in tandem with economic and technical analysis.

This point was brought out well in testimony before Congress by an agency that has had extensive experience with EIS's -- the Army Corps of Engineers. From CEQ's survey, it was learned that the Corps spends an average of nine months preparing a draft EIS, and another ten and-a-half months on review and revision prior to filing a final EIS -- a rough total of 20 months. Adding time for review of the final EIS, it can be assumed that the whole process requires 24 months. This is a substantial period, and if it all represented delay, it would be an extremely costly one.

But the Corps of Engineers witness testified that the average length of time required for a Corps project is 15 to 16 years. Of these, only about five years would go into actual construction; the rest would go into an initial study of the feasibility of a project, followed by a request for project authorization and funding by Congress, and planning and design work prior to construction.

Finally, the Corps spokesman testified, since the passage of NEPA, that agency had learned to place EIS analysis among the matters to be studied first -- as part of a feasibility study -- rather than leaving it until after the project had met other criteria. "The key lesson," reads a Corps document entered into testimony, "was the necessity for handling the environmental factors as an integral part of the overall planning process. The EIS must not be made an end in and of itself. Environmental data must be provided in a timely manner to the decision-maker so as to be considered to the same depth of understanding and detail as the economic and engineering concepts and information."

To repeat, then, the CEQ study found thatenvironmental analysis need not delay a project if it is undertaken as an integral component of the planning necessary for any Federal action.

There are, however, other causes of delay related to NEPA and the EIS process. One of them has been the tendency of some Federal agency heads not to take NEPA seriously -- and this attitude is passed on down the line, either explicitly or by executive osmosis, to project managers. Not all managers accept this point of view; indeed, in many cases, middle-level managers have tried very hard to live up to NEPA in the face of indifference

or even hostility from the top.

But if managers adopt an indifferent or hostile attitude toward NEPA, they often wind up creating delays. They conclude, following a show of environmental analysis, that a proposed action will have no significant impact -- and, hence, that no EIS is necessary. Sometimes agencies decline to prepare an EIS simply because the action does not appear to be controversial -- that, in essence, nobody is likely to create a fuss about a project, so the agency can get away without an EIS. Frequently such decisions lead to litigation and, in the end, to a court order to prepare an EIS. In that case, the project is halted -- not by NEPA, per se, but by attempts to by-pass it.

Another reason for delay is changing public preferences for certain kinds of projects. Twenty years ago, dams, airports, and expressways were popular items, and the first flight of the SST to these shores might have been greeted by delegations of cheering citizens. These days, many such projects are greeted skeptically -- not because of NEPA, but because of our experience with the unanticipated side-effects of seemingly worthy activities.

Finally, some projects <u>ought</u> to be delayed. Complex projects with potentially far-reaching impacts on the environment deserve a thorough look, and are often improved as a result of comment

-- and even opposition -- by the public and by other governmental agencies.

CEQ's survey also checked on another source of criticism about NEPA: the amount of litigation that has arisen in connection with the EIS process. There is no doubt that NEPA stimulates some litigation; if it did not, it would be a pointless, toothless piece of legislation. But the claim that NEPA-related suits interfere with the timely execution of a substantial number of Federal actions simply does not stand up.

Between January 1, 1970 and June 30, 1975 -- five and-a-half years -- a total of 654 actions were brought, alleging a NEPA issue. During that same period, Federal agencies initiated tens of thousands of projects; in 1975 alone, agencies assessed more than 30,000 projects for environmental impacts. During the period studied, about 6,000 draft EIS's were submitted. Only 291 -- less than five percent -- were challenged in court as being inadequate.

Of the 333 cases completed by June 30, 1975, about one-third were dismissed at the trial court level. Roughly 60 percent resulted in temporary injunctions, which ranged from a few weeks to the time required to prepare an adequate impact statement. Only four cases resulted in "permanent" injunctions -- and not even in these was the agency precluded from proceeding with its

project or program after it complied with NEPA.

The standard complaints against NEPA, then, do not stand up under analysis. It has imposed new, unfamiliar, and probably irksome requirements on Federal managers and private contractors, true; and it has cost money, true. But against these normal costs of any new law must be placed NEPA's accomplishments.

First, the law has significantly improved Federal decision-making. While agencies initially focused on the environmental impact statement, the analytical processes necessary for EIS's have produced more than paper; they have produced better thinking about projects. Virtually all parties involved in the Trans-Alaska Oil Pipeline agree that intensive environmental review of this project prompted important design changes and improvements in routing and construction techniques. The Army Corps of Engineers has abandoned work on more than a dozen of its water projects because its NEPA process -- not litigation -- revealed that significant environmental damage would result. Illustrating the broad reach of NEPA's effects, the EIS process has also altered building projects of the General Services Administration. GSA's draft EIS on the Kennedy Museum and Library in Cambridge, Massachusetts focused attention on increased traffic and other adverse changes that would affect the area. As a result, the

Kennedy Library Corporation decided against that proposed museum site and examined several alternatives.

Second, NEPA has improved the degree of coordination among Federal agencies and of cooperation with local and state governments. The law's requirement of interdisciplinary analysis in decision-making and planning has brought fresh perspectives from other agencies to bear on a single agency's projects. With few exceptions, the 27 state governments responding to CEQ' study reported that the Federal EIS process helped their own planning and decision-making or was, on balance, well worth the costs they incurred in reviewing Federal EIS's.

Perhaps most important of all, NEPA has provided a mechanism for involving the public in government decisions. Public comments on proposed Federal actions, along with those of government agencies, now receive formal consideration through the draft and final EIS processes. In addition, many agencies have held public hearings or informal meetings on proposed actions before or during EIS preparation. These hearings, in addition to giving Federal agencies valuable information on important issues, have provided the public with a constructive outlet for frustrations with a government often perceived as immense, impersonal, and unresponsive.

Having said all this indefense of NEPA and the EIS process,

however, let me now respond to valid criticisms. Despite the Act's accomplishments, we have encountered real difficulties with its administration. In order that we might address them, CEQ held public hearings earlier this month -- hearings at which we sought to involve NEPA's critics as well as its defenders. We asked the United States Chamber of Commerce to coordinate the business and industry presentation. At our request, the Building and Construction Trades Council of the AFL-CIO coordinated the labor presentation. We had an environmental panel, at which your president, Mr. Charles Zirzow, testified on behalf of the NAEP. In addition, we heard from state and local governments, the scientific community, and the general public.

What was most remarkable about these hearings to me was the extent of agreement about NEPA. Nobody advocated repealing or seriously weakening the law. . . actions which, I am sure, would have been urged two or three years ago. On the contrary, virtually every witness volunteered that NEPA had created a good process. Perhaps the height of agreement was reached when an oil company attorney representing the petroleum industry opened his presentation by endorsing in its entirety the statement of the president of the Sierra Club.

At the same time, all the speakers believed that the EIS

process might be improved. They criticized statements for being extremely bulky, for often being burdened with masses of detail that obscure rather than facilitate analysis. A fat volume or series of them serve nobody's interests. Top-level personnel in agencies rarely read them; the business man or woman complains that they take too long to prepare; and the environmentalist asserts that agencies use bulk to hide the critical issues. Central to NEPA and the EIS process is the consideration of alternatives; often, however, the alternatives seem to be straw men set up for easy rejection, leaving the action preferred by the agency as the only "sensible" choice. As good a summary of the situation as any was this, offered by a representative of the League of Women Voters:

> The League has closely observed the burgeoning growth of environmental impact statements since the passage of the National Environmental Policy Act in 1969. We have been increasingly alarmed about the way in which the statement process has overtaken, and in some ways replaced, the Act's objective of incorporating environmental considerations in Federal agency decision-making.

The hearings were designed to elicit suggestions as to how the EIS process could be improved. Though specific measures for

doing this varied widely, there was universal agreement that the process should somehow be streamlined. Statements should be shorter, less technical, easier to read. They should de-emphasize background material -- the so-called "dandelion counts" -- and emphasize the alternative choices for action facing decision-makers and the public. One speaker emphasized that "no action" was also an alternative, and one that deserved more serious consideration than it is usually given. Environmental impact statements should be more clearly organized for the reader. There should, witnesses said, be more widespread use of "programmatic" EIS's -- that is, those covering a class of activities, such as coal-leasing or timber-cutting to be carried out in a number of locations -- so that much briefer, "site-specific" EIS's could be written without having to repeat the extensive discussion of general questions. It was suggested that CEQ should sponsor EIS-writing courses or in other ways provide training for those who have to prepare statements; at a minimum, one witness suggested, CEQ might select a few EIS's as models for agency and applicant personnel to follow. Agencies should follow up their own statements after an action has been completed, to evaluate the accuracy of their impact predictions. "Mitigation" measures designed to alleviate predicted environ-

mental impacts should not only be enforceable but also monitored -- to make sure that agencies actually undertake some measures.

Of particular interest to you were contradictory statements from two witnesses on the use of "outside contractors" to prepare environmental impact statements. One speaker, from a public interest group, felt this practise should be discouraged, on the ground that agencies should have individuals with the requisite environmental expertise on their own staffs. At least, this witness argued, when contractors are used, "care should be taken to avoid giving the preparation job to a company or individuals with vested interests in the proposed action or program. A definition of what constitutes a conflict of interest should be included in CEQ's regulations," this witness said.

On the other side of this question, a corporate representative advocated increased use of outside contractors. Significant delays in EIS preparation had occurred, he said, because project applicants found themselves "coping with an inexperienced team sent by the government... It is often necessary to educate its members in the fundamentals, such as how the project operates and the data basis before the work can go forward..." To avoid "even the hint of impropriety", this witness suggested, both the pplicant and the agency would have full access to the

contractor, and neither should meet with the contractor unless the other party were present.

The most important suggestion, though, was the familiar one: to integrate the environmental assessment process with technical and economic analysis from the outset -- so that the EIS is a genuine exploration of options, not an after-the-fact justification for a decision already made.

Those hearings were concluded only three weeks ago, and we are still sifting the suggestions offered. Many of them made excellent sense, and many of them, most assuredly, will show up in future NEPA practise.

This is particularly the case because the President, in an Executive Order of May 24, has given CEQ an entirely new measure of authority in monitoring NEPA and the EIS process. As you know, CEQ in the past has had the authority to administer the EIS system through "guidelines", which have been treated by courts and agencies with varying degrees of deference. In the main, however, those who wanted to ignore those guidelines did so.

President Carter changed this. One paragrpah of the Executive Order directs the Council to:

Issue regulations to Federal agencies for the imple-

> mentation of the procedural provisions of (NEPA). Such regulations shall be developed after consultation with affected agencies and after such public hearings as may be appropriate. They will be designed to make the environmental impact statement process more useful to decision-makers and the public; and to reduce paperwork and the accumulation of extraneous background data, in order to emphasize theneed to focus on real environmental issues and alternatives. They will require impact statements to be concise, clear, and to the point, and supported by evidence that agencies have made the necessary environmental analyses. The Council shall include in its regulations procedures (1) for the early preparation of environmental impact statements, and (2) for the referral to the Council of conflicts between agencies concerning the implemenation of (NEPA)...

The Executive Order embraces, in sum, virtually all the major criticisms of NEPA and the EIS process, and gives the Council the authority to correct deficiencies. That will be a sizeable job, one that will require our best thinking and effort for a long time to come.

As we approach the job, though, we do so in the conviction that NEPA and the EIS process have withstood their most severe test -- that of gaining acceptance with the public, with other arms of government, and with the responsible sector of industry. As an assistant attorney general of the State of New York phrased it in his testimony, "For all its shortcomings, the NEPA impact statement process has proved to be one of the most important mechanisms by which a state and its citizens are able to learn of Federal activities affecting their environment."

Moreover, as we try to improve EIS procedures, we shall bear in mind that such statements are only a means to an end. As Professor Richard Andrews of the University of Michigan commented in his statement, the goal of NEPA is "to produce better actions, not simply better EIS"s."

Better actions, not better statements. That I think is a useful summary not only of CEQ's role in NEPA reform, but of the purpose of your work. However energetically we approach our job, it will be only partially successful unless you join us in making choices clear. Only then can we select better actions. If we environmental professionals can manage that in the years ahead, we shall be most successful indeed.

-o-

PROM 06-01-77
TO 06-30-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

07-12-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Petroleum Feedstocks to Synthetic Nat. Gas Plants	70683	06-01-77	FEA
		DRAFT	Pine Bluff, Ark. - W. Side Sewer & Drain	70684	06-02-77	HUD
		DRAFT	Benzene, Occupational Exposure Standard	70730	06-10-77	DLAB
		DRAFT	FY '78, '79, Nav. Season Ext. Demonstration	70767	06-21-77	COE
		FINAL	Haddock, Cod, and Yellowtail Flounder M.P.	70722	06-09-77	DOC
		SUPPLES	R. 45 (U.S. 41) (S-1)	70738	06-14-77	DOT
		SUPPLE	Prince Wm. Sound Vessel Traffic Service	70788	06-24-77	DOT
Alabama	Calhoun	FINAL	Blue Eye Creek Watershed, Alabama (2)	70739	06-14-77	USDA
	Montgomery	FINAL	Clay-Herron St. Extension, Ala.	70704	06-06-77	DOT
	Talladega	FINAL	Blue Eye Creek Watershed, Alabama (2)	70739	06-14-77	USDA
Alaska		FINAL	Alaska 6 Golden Valley, Two 60MW Turbines	70751	06-17-77	USDA
Arizona	Maricopa	FINAL	Harquahala Valley Watershed	70773	06-23-77	USDA
	Mohave	FINAL	Planet Townsite Land Exchange	70762	06-20-77	DOI
	Yuma	FINAL	Harquahala Valley Watershed	70773	06-23-77	USDA
Arkansas	several	DRAFT	Lakes Greeson, Ouachita, & DeGray, O&M	70725	06-09-77	COE
	Pope	FINAL	Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2	70806	06-30-77	NRC
California	several	DRAFT	Lassen Volcanic National Park	70744	06-13-77	DOI
	Alameda	SUPPLE	San Leandro Marina, Dredging (S-2)	70771	06-23-77	COE
	Los Angeles	FINAL	Saticoy Street Extension, Los Angeles	70715	06-07-77	DOT
		FINAL	Willowbrook, Cal. - Willowbrook Dev. Project	70728	06-10-77	HUD
	Orange	FINAL	Aliso Hills, Orange County	70696	06-06-77	HUD
	San Francisco	DRAFT	Fisherman's Wharf, Light Draft Nav. (2)	70688	06-02-77	COE
	Tulare	FINAL	Little Kern Unit Plan, Sequoia N.F.	70800	06-27-77	USDA
Colorado		FINAL	Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources	70772	06-23-77	DOI
	Denver	DRAFT	Denver, Col. - Sloan Lake Sanitary Sewer	70801	06-27-77	HUD
		SUPPLE	West Side Housing (S-1)	70802	06-28-77	HUD
	Jefferson	FINAL	W. Denver Metro Area GSA Leasing Program	70760	06-20-77	GSA
	Montezuma	FINAL	Mancos Canyon Indian Park	70733	06-13-77	DOI

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Connecticut	Hartford	DRAFT	I-291, Greater Hartford Area	70703	06-06-77	DOT
District of Co		DRAFT	V.A. Hospital, Wash., D.C.	70778	06-23-77	VA
Florida		SUPPLE	Aquatic Plant Control, Florida (S-1)	70727	06-10-77	COE
	Broward	FINAL	S.R. 842 (Broward Blvd.), Ft. Lauderdale	70742	06-15-77	DOT
	Columbia	DRAFT	Lake City Western Bypass	70779	06-23-77	DOT
	Dade	FINAL	SR 828 (Normandy Drive), Miami Beach	70785	06-24-77	DOT
		FINAL	Bent Tree Planned Unit Development	70794	06-27-77	HUD
	Escambia	FINAL	Connector Highway, Pensacola USN Sta. to I-10	70724	06-09-77	DOT
	SEVERAL	DRAFT	Rookery Bay Estuarine Sanctuary	70746	06-16-77	DOC
Georgia		DRAFT	Fleet Ballistic Missile, Kings Bay	70792	06-24-77	USN
Idaho	several	FINAL	Salmon River Wild & Scenic Rivers Proposal	70805	06-29-77	USDA
Illinois	Franklin	FINAL	Rend Lake, Operation and Maintenance	70693	06-02-77	COE
	Jefferson	FINAL	Rend Lake, Operation and Maintenance	70693	06-02-77	COE
	Pope	DRAFT	Fluorospar Prospecting, Lusk Creek Area	70787	06-24-77	USDA
	Will	FINAL	Indian Oaks Subdivision	70795	06-27-77	HUD
Indiana	Lake	FINAL	Merrillville Terrace Multifamily Project	70741	06-15-77	HUD
	Marshall	DRAFT	F.A.S. S-59 (S.R. 17), S.R. 10 - U.S. 30	70758	06-20-77	DOT
Iowa		SUPPLE	Big Sioux R., Sioux City (S-1)	70786	06-24-77	COE
	Cerro Gordo	FINAL	Mason City, Iow. - So. Federal Ave.	70698	06-06-77	HUD
	Linn	FINAL	I-380, Cedar Rapids	70708	06-06-77	DOT
Kentucky		SUPPLE	Reid Power Station (S-1)	70808	06-30-77	USDA
	Boone	FINAL	East Bend Station, Units 1 and 2 (Permit)	70753	06-17-77	COE
Louisiana	Assumption	DRAFT	Relocation of LA 70 at Pierre Port	70691	06-02-77	DOT
	East Baton Rou	DRAFT	E. Baton Rouge, La. - Beaver Bayou Project	70714	06-07-77	HUD
	Jefferson	DRAFT	Jefferson, La. - Marrero to Lafitte Waterline	70710	06-06-77	HUD

FROM 06-01-77
TO 06-33-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

07-12-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Louisiana	St Charles	FINAL	Shell Chem. Co. Polypropylene Plant - Permit	70743	06-15-77	EPA
Maryland	Allegany	FINAL	Md. Route 51, Cumberland to N. Branch	70716	06-07-77	DOT
		FINAL	National Freeway, Cumberland to Hancock	70796	06-27-77	DOT
	Baltimore	DRAFT	Slag Filling Permit, Sparrows Point Plant	70757	06-20-77	COE
	Montgomery	DRAFT	Metrobus Garage Facility	70745	06-16-77	DOT
	Prince Georges	FINAL	SR 193 Relocated, Maryland	70692	06-02-77	DOT
Massachusetts		SUPPLE	Boston, Mass. - Demolition, Unsafe Structures (S-1	70774	06-23-77	HUD
	Bourne	FINAL	Cape Cod Canal, Bourne & Sandwich, O & M	70803	06-28-77	COE
	Cambridge	DRAFT	Kendall Square	70791	06-24-77	HUD
	Suffolk	DRAFT	I-93 & U.S. 1 Interchange, Boston & Cambridge	70706	06-06-77	DOT
Michigan	several	DRAFT	Logan Street/DeWitt Road, Kalamazoo St. - I-69	70766	06-21-77	DOT
	Lake	FINAL	Proposed Pere Marquette Wild and Scenic River	70804	06-29-77	USDA
	Mason	FINAL	Proposed Pere Marquette Wild and Scenic River	70804	06-29-77	USDA
	Mecosta	FINAL	US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North	70750	06-17-77	DOT
	Midland	SUPPLE	Midland Plant, U. 1 & 2 (S-2)	70783	06-24-77	NRC
	Oakland	FINAL	Oakland-Pontiac Airport, Runway 9R-27L	70736	06-13-77	DOT
	Osceola	FINAL	US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North	70750	06-17-77	DOT
Minnesota	Sherburne	DRAFT	Tenth Street South Upgrade, St. Cloud	70709	06-06-77	DOT
	Stearns	DRAFT	Tenth Street South Upgrade, St. Cloud	70709	06-06-77	DOT
Mississippi	Harrison	DRAFT	Gulfport Harbor, Channel Deepening	70765	06-20-77	COE
	Tishomingo	DRAFT	Yellow Creek Nuclear Plants, 1 & 2	70789	06-24-77	NRC
Montana	Big Horn	FINAL	E. Decker & No. Extension Mines, Decker Coal Co.	70731	06-13-77	DOI
	Lake	FINAL	U.S. 93, Polson to Kalispell, Montana	70700	06-06-77	DOT
	Missoula	DRAFT	F.A.P. 24, Bonner-Potomac	70775	06-23-77	DOT
		FINAL	Crow Indian Ceded Area Tract III, Mining & Recl	70666	06-01-77	DOI
Nebraska		DRAFT	Prairie Dogs, Nebraska N.F.	70807	06-30-77	USDA
	Johnson	DRAFT	S. Branch Little Nemaha Watershed	70726	06-10-77	USDA
	Lancaster	DRAFT	S. Branch Little Nemaha Watershed	70726	06-10-77	USDA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Nebraska	Otoe	DRAFT	S. Branch Little Nemaha Watershed	70726	06-10-77	USDA
Nevada	Clark	FINAL	S. Nevada Water Project, Second Stage	70717	06-07-77	DOI
New Jersey	Somerset	DRAFT	Nassau Woods Development	70729	06-10-77	HUD
New Mexico		DRAFT	Albuquerque Wastewater Treatment Facilities	70734	06-13-77	EPA
	Quay	SUPPLEI	I-40 & Mountain Rd. Interchange (S-2)	70697	06-06-77	DOT
	Rio Arriba	FINAL	Gallina Unit Plan, Santa Fe N.F.	70747	06-16-77	USDA
	Sandoval	DRAFT	S.R. 126, N.E. Forest Hwy. 12	70776	06-23-77	DOT
		FINAL	Gallina Unit Plan, Santa Fe N.F.	70747	06-16-77	USDA
	Taos	FINAL	Red River Ski Area Expansion	70748	06-16-77	USDA
New York	Broome	DRAFT	Deposit Watershed, New York	70702	06-06-77	USDA
	Chenango	DRAFT	Deposit Watershed, New York	70702	06-06-77	USDA
	Delaware	DRAFT	Deposit Watershed, New York	70702	06-06-77	USDA
	Monroe	FINAL	I-390, Genesee Expressway	70761	06-20-77	DOT
	Orange	DRAFT	N.Y. Animal Import Center, Stewart Airport	70756	06-20-77	USDA
North Carolina		FINAL	High Point, N.C. - Big Ditch	70784	06-27-77	HUD
		FINAL	Little River Inlet Navigation Proj. N.C. & S.C. (2	70707	06-06-77	COE
		SUPPLE	Wilkerson Creek Bridge Replacement (S-1)	70781	06-24-77	COE
	Beaufort	FINAL	N.C. Phosphate Corp., Beaufort Co. Dredging	70752	06-17-77	COE
	Columbus	FINAL	U.S. 74, West of Hallsboro to East of Bolton	70712	06-06-77	DOT
	Dare	FINAL	Avon Water Project, Cape Hatteras	70713	06-06-77	USDA
	Polk	FINAL	U.S. 74, Rutherfordton to Columbus	70759	06-20-77	DOT
	Rutherford	FINAL	U.S. 74, Rutherfordton to Columbus	70759	06-20-77	DOT
	Lenoir	FINAL	Adkin Branch Flood Control Project	70689	06-17-77	COE
Ohio	Clermont	FINAL	Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station	70735	06-13-77	NRC
	Cuyahoga	FINAL	Detroit-Rocky River Bridge	70737	06-14-77	DOT
	Franklin	FINAL	Ohio Route 315, Ackerman Rd. - I-270	70687	06-02-77	DOT
	Stark	FINAL	Whipple Avenue (State Route 297), Ohio	70723	06-09-77	DOT

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Oregon	Douglas	DRAFT	Row,S.Umpqua, & N.Umpqua Units, Umpqua N.F.	70705	06-06-77	USDA
	Grant	SUPPLE	John Day Unit, Umatilla & Malheur N.F.	70682	06-01-77	USDA
	Jackson	DRAFT	Row,S.Umpqua, & N.Umpqua Units, Umpqua N.F.	70705	06-06-77	USDA
		FINAL	Westside Trunk Wastewater Facilities Plan	70721	06-08-77	EPA
	Lane	DRAFT	Row,S.Umpqua, & N.Umpqua Units, Umpqua N.F.	70705	06-06-77	USDA
Pennsylvania	Allegheny	DRAFT	I-279 and East Street	70740	06-15-77	DOT
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	Metropolis Development, Carolina, P.R.	70701	06-06-77	HUD
		FINAL	Estancias de Rio Hondo Development, San Juan	70694	06-06-77	HUD
Rhode Island	Providence	FINAL	Cranston Wastewater Treatment Facilities	70695	06-24-77	EPA
South Carolina		FINAL	Little River Inlet Navigation Proj. N.C. & S.C. (2	70707	06-06-77	COE
	Charleston	DRAFT	Stonefield Subdivision	70777	06-23-77	HUD
South Dakota		DRAFT	Prairie Dogs, Nebraska N.F.	70807	06-30-77	USDA
		SUPPLE	Big Sioux R., Sioux City (S-1)	70786	06-24-77	COE
T. Territory		DRAFT	Ammunition Port Facility, Mariana Island	70763	06-20-77	USN
Tennessee	DeKalb	FINAL	DeKalb Co., Tenn. - 3rd Year Water Line	70799	06-27-77	HUD
Texas		FINAL	Cooper Lake and Channels, Texas	70780	06-24-77	COE
	Anderson	FINAL	U.S. 287 and U.S. 84, Anderson Co.	70790	06-24-77	DOT
	Harris	DRAFT	Atascocita Subdivision, Texas	70718	06-07-77	HUD
		DRAFT	Ranch Country Subdivision	70749	06-16-77	HUD
		DRAFT	Kenswick Subdivision	70764	06-20-77	HUD
		DRAFT	Greengate Place and Birnam Wood	70793	06-27-77	HUD
		DRAFT	Upper White Oak Bayou	70798	06-27-77	COE
		FINAL	Williamsburg Subdivision	70770	06-22-77	HUD
		FINAL	Memorial Parkway Subdivision, Harris Co.	70809	06-30-77	HUD

FROM 06-01-77
TO 06-33-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

07-12-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Texas	Newton	DRAFT	Blue Hills Sta. Units 1 & 2	70685	06-02-77	NRC
	Tarrant	DRAFT	Tarrant Co., Tex. - County Water Project	70797	06-27-77	HUD
Utah		DRAFT	Ashley N.F. Timber Management Plan	70699	06-06-77	USDA
		FINAL	Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources	70772	06-23-77	DOI
Virginia		DRAFT	D.C. Area National Cemetery	70732	06-13-77	VA
Washington	Grant	DRAFT	S.R. 90, Hilltop Interchange & Frontage Roads	70711	06-06-77	DOT
	Skagit	FINAL	Skagit, Amendment of Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	70769	06-22-77	USDA
		FINAL	Upper Baker Project, Skagit River Basin (2)	70782	06-24-77	COE
	Snohomish	DRAFT	S.R. 2, Pobes Hill-N. Monroe Interchange	70690	06-02-77	DOT
		FINAL	Skagit, Amendment of Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	70769	06-22-77	USDA
	Whatcom	FINAL	Upper Baker Project, Skagit River Basin (2)	70782	06-24-77	COE
Wisconsin	Dane	FINAL	Madison Organic Solids Reuse Plan	70686	06-02-77	EPA
	Walworth	DRAFT	S.T.H. 89, S.T.H. 11 - Whitewater Road	70768	06-21-77	DOT
Wyoming		DRAFT	Ashley N.F. Timber Management Plan	70699	06-06-77	USDA
	Converse	FINAL	Bear Creek Project, Rocky Mtn. Energy Co.	70719	06-08-77	NRC
	Fremont	DRAFT	Lucky Mc Uranium Mill	70755	06-17-77	NRC

07-12-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

FROM 06-01-77
TO 06-30-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
Animal and Plant Health Inspec. Service	DRAFT	N.Y. Animal Import Center, Stewart Airport	70756	06-20-77	(none)
Farmers Home Administration	FINAL	Avon Water Project, Cape Hatteras	70713	06-06-77	(none)
Forest Service	DRAFT	Ashley N.F. Timber Management Plan	70699	06-06-77	(none)
		Bow,S.Umpqua, & N.Umpqua Units, Umpqua N.F.	70705	06-06-77	(none)
		Fluorospar Prospecting, Lusk Creek Area	70787	06-24-77	(none)
		Prairie Dogs, Nebraska N.F.	70807	06-30-77	(none)
	FINAL	Gallina Unit Plan, Santa Fe N.F.	70747	06-16-77	(none)
		Red River Ski Area Expansion	70748	06-16-77	(none)
		Skagit, Amendment of Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	70769	06-22-77	(none)
		Little Kern Unit Plan, Sequoia N.F.	70800	06-27-77	(none)
		Proposed Pere Marquette Wild and Scenic River	70804	06-29-77	(none)
		Salmon River Wild & Scenic Rivers Proposal	70805	06-29-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	John Day Unit, Umatilla & Malheur N.F.	70682	06-01-77	(none)
Rural Electrification Administration	FINAL	Alaska 6 Golden Valley, Two 60kW Turbines	70751	06-17-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Reid Power Station (S-1)	70808	06-30-77	(none)
Soil Conservation Service	DRAFT	Deposit Watershed, New York	70702	06-06-77	(none)
		S. Branch Little Nemaha Watershed	70726	06-10-77	(none)
	FINAL	Blue Eye Creek Watershed, Alabama (2)	70739	06-14-77	(none)
		Marquahala Valley Watershed	70773	06-23-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE					
	DRAFT	Rookery Bay Estuarine Sanctuary	70746	06-16-77	(none)
Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.	FINAL	Haddock, Cod, and Yellowtail Flounder M.P.	70722	06-09-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps	DRAFT	Fisherman's Wharf, Light Draft Nav. (2)	70688	06-02-77	(none)
		Lakes Greeson, Ouachita, & DeGray, O&M	70725	06-09-77	(none)
		Slag Filling Permit, Sparrows Point Plant	70757	06-20-77	(none)
		Gulfport Harbor, Channel Deepening	70765	06-20-77	(none)
		FY '78, '79, Nav. Season Ext. Demonstration	70767	06-21-77	(none)
		Upper White Oak Bayou	70798	06-27-77	(none)
	FINAL	Adkin Branch Flood Control Project	70689	06-17-77	(none)
		Kend Lake, Operation and Maintenance	70693	06-02-77	(none)
		Little River Inlet Navigation Proj. N.C. & S.C. (2	70707	06-06-77	(none)
		S.C. Phosphate Corp., Beaufort Co. Dredging	70752	06-17-77	(none)

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps					
	FINAL	East Bend Station, Units 1 and 2 (Permit)	70753	06-17-77	(none)
		Cooper Lake and Channels, Texas	70780	06-24-77	(none)
		Upper Baker Project, Skagit River Basin (2)	70782	06-24-77	(none)
		Cape Cod Canal, Bourne & Sandwich, O & M	70803	06-28-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Aquatic Plant Control, Florida (S-1)	70727	06-10-77	(none)
		San Leandro Marina, Dredging (S-2)	70771	06-23-77	(none)
		Wilkerson Creek Bridge Replacement (S-1)	70781	06-24-77	(none)
		Big Sioux R., Sioux City (S-1)	70786	06-24-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy					
	DRAFT	Ammunition Port Facility, Mariana Island	70763	06-20-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Fleet Ballistic Missile, Kings Bay	70792	06-24-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF HUD					
	DRAFT	Metropolis Development, Carolina, P.R.	70701	06-06-77	(none)
		Atascocita Subdivision, Texas	70718	06-07-77	(none)
		Nassau Woods Development	70729	06-10-77	(none)
		Ranch Country Subdivision	70749	06-16-77	(none)
		Kenswick Subdivision	70764	06-20-77	(none)
		Stonefield Subdivision	70777	06-23-77	(none)
		Kendall Square	70791	06-24-77	(none)
		Greengate Place and Birnam Wood	70793	06-27-77	(none)
	FINAL	Estancias de Rio Hondo Development, San Juan	70694	06-06-77	(none)
		Aliso Hills, Orange County	70696	06-06-77	(none)
		Merrillville Terrace Multifamily Project	70741	06-15-77	(none)
		Williamsburg Subdivision	70770	06-22-77	(none)
		Bent Tree Planned Unit Development	70794	06-27-77	(none)
		Indian Oaks Subdivision	70795	06-27-77	(none)
		Memorial Parkway Subdivision, Harris Co.	70809	06-30-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	West Side Housing (S-1)	70802	06-28-77	(none)
Section 104(h)					
	DRAFT	Pine Bluff, Ark. - W. Side Sewer & Drain	70684	06-02-77	(none)
		Jefferson, La. - Barrero to Lafitte Waterline	70710	06-06-77	(none)
		E. Baton Rouge, La. - Beaver Bayou Project	70714	06-07-77	(none)
		Tarrant Co., Tex. - County Water Project	70797	06-27-77	(none)
		Denver, Col. - Sloan Lake Sanitary Sewer	70801	06-27-77	(none)
	FINAL	Mason City, Iow. - So. Federal Ave.	70698	06-06-77	(none)
		Willowbrook, Cal. - Willowbrook Dev. Project	70728	06-10-77	(none)
		DeKalb Co., Tenn. - 3rd Year Water Line	70799	06-27-77	(none)
		High Point, N.C. - Big Ditch	70784	06-27-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Boston, Mass. - Demolition, Unsafe Structures (S-1)	70774	06-23-77	(none)

FROM 06-01-77
TO 06-30-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

07-12-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR					
Bureau of Indian Affairs	FINAL	Mancos Canyon Indian Park	70733	06-13-77	(none)
Bureau of Land Management	FINAL	Planet Townsite Land Exchange	70762	06-20-77	(none)
		Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources	70772	06-23-77	(none)
Bureau of Reclamation	FINAL	S. Nevada Water Project, Second Stage	70717	06-07-77	(none)
Geological Survey	FINAL	Crow Indian Ceded Area Tract III, Mining and Recl	70666	06-01-77	(none)
	FINAL	E. Decker & No. Extension Mines, Decker Coal Co.	70731	06-13-77	(none)
National Park Service	DRAFT	Lassen Volcanic National Park	70744	06-13-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	DRAFT	Benzene, Occupational Exposure Standard	70730	06-10-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
Federal Aviation Administration	FINAL	Oakland-Pontiac Airport, Runway 9R-27L	70736	06-13-77	(none)
Federal Highway Administration	DRAFT	S.R. 2, Pobes Hill-N. Monroe Interchange	70690	06-02-77	(none)
		Relocation of LA 70 at Pierre Part	70691	06-02-77	(none)
		I-291, Greater Hartford Area	70703	06-06-77	(none)
		I-93 & U.S. 1 Interchange, Boston & Cambridge	70706	06-06-77	(none)
		Tenth Street South Upgrade, St. Cloud	70709	06-06-77	(none)
		S.R. 90, Hilltop Interchange & Frontage Roads	70711	06-06-77	(none)
		I-279 and East Street	70740	06-15-77	(none)
		F.A.S. S-59 (S.R. 17), S.R. 10 - U.S. 30	70758	06-20-77	(none)
		Logan Street/DeWitt Road, Kalamazoo St. - I-69	70766	06-21-77	(none)
		S.T.H. 89, S.T.H. 11 - Whitewater Road	70768	06-21-77	(none)
		F.A.P. 24, Bonner-Potomac	70775	06-23-77	(none)
		S.R. 126, N.F. Forest Hwy. 12	70776	06-23-77	(none)
		Lake City Western Bypass	70779	06-23-77	(none)
	FINAL	Ohio Route 315, Ackerman Rd. - I-270	70687	06-02-77	(none)
		SR 193 Relocated, Maryland	70692	06-02-77	(none)
		U.S. 93, Polson to Kalispell, Montana	70700	06-06-77	(none)
		Clay-Herron St. Extension, Ala.	70704	06-06-77	(none)
		I-380, Cedar Rapids	70708	06-06-77	(none)
		U.S. 74, West of Hallsboro to East of Bolton	70712	06-06-77	(none)
		Saticoy Street Extension, Los Angeles	70715	06-07-77	(none)
		Md. Route 51, Cumberland to N. Branch	70716	06-07-77	(none)
		Whipple Avenue (State Route 297), Ohio	70723	06-09-77	(none)

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
Federal Highway Administration					
	FINAL	Connector Highway, Pensacola USN Sta. to I-10	70724	06-09-77	(none)
		Detroit-Rocky River Bridge	70737	06-14-77	(none)
		S.R. 842 (Broward Blvd.), Ft. Lauderdale	70742	06-15-77	(none)
		US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North	70750	06-17-77	(none)
		U.S. 74, Rutherfordton to Columbus	70759	06-20-77	(none)
		I-390, Genesee Expressway	70761	06-20-77	(none)
		SR 828 (Normandy Drive), Miami Beach	70785	06-24-77	(none)
		U.S. 287 and U.S. 84, Anderson Co.	70790	06-24-77	(none)
		National Freeway, Cumberland to Hancock	70796	06-27-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	I-40 & Mountain Rd. Interchange (S-2)	70697	06-06-77	(none)
		S.R. 45 (U.S. 41) (S-1)	70738	06-14-77	(none)
U.S.Coast Guard					
	SUPPLEM	Prince Wm. Sound Vessel Traffic Service	70788	06-24-77	(none)
Urban Mass Transportation Administration					
	DRAFT	Metrobus Garage Facility	70745	06-16-77	(none)
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY					
	DRAFT	Albuquerque Wastewater Treatment Facilities	70734	06-13-77	(none)
	FINAL	Madison Organic Solids Reuse Plan	70686	06-02-77	(none)
		Cranston Wastewater Treatment Facilities	70695	06-24-77	(none)
		Westside Trunk Wastewater Facilities Plan	70721	06-08-77	(none)
		Shell Chem. Co. Polypropylene Plant - Permit	70743	06-15-77	(none)
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION					
	DRAFT	Petroleum Feedstocks to Synthetic Nat. Gas Plants	70683	06-01-77	(none)
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION					
	FINAL	W. Denver Metro Area GSA Leasing Program	70760	06-20-77	(none)
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
	DRAFT	Blue Hills Sta. Units 1 & 2	70685	06-02-77	(none)
		Lucky Mc Uranium Mill	70755	06-17-77	(none)
		Yellow Creek Nuclear Plants, 1 & 2	70789	06-24-77	(none)
	FINAL	Bear Creek Project, Rocky Mtn. Energy Co.	70719	06-08-77	(none)
		Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station	70735	06-13-77	(none)
		Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2	70806	06-30-77	(none)

FROM 06-01-77
TO 06-33-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

07-12-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	SUPPLEM	Midland Plant, U. 1 & 2 (S-2)	70783	06-24-77	(none)
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION	DRAFT	D.C. Area National Cemetery	70732	06-13-77	(none)
		V.A. Hospital, Wash., D.C.	70778	06-23-77	(none)

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Mr. Errett Deck
Coordinator
Environmental Quality Activities
U.S.Department of Agriculture
Room 307A
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-6827

Animal and Plant Health Inspec. Service

Draft — Date

N.Y. Animal Import Center, Stewart Airport — 06/20

New York
County: Orange
Proposed is the construction of an Animal Import Center on approximately 75 acres of land at the Stewart Airport near Newburgh, New York. The new facility will replace a presently outdated similar facility in Clifton, New Jersey. To provide complete isolation the Import Center will be located within a fenced compound and will contain nineteen buildings. Construction-related impacts are anticipated.
(ELR ORDER # 70756)

Farmers Home Administration

Final — Date

Avon Water Project, Cape Hatteras — 06/06

North Carolina
County: Dare
Proposed is the extension of the existing water system of the Cape Hatteras Water Association from Buxton, N.C. to the community of Avon, N.C., in order to provide a safe, dependable source of treated, domestic water for the Village of Avon. Plans call for the construction of a water line extension, storage tank, and distribution system. This project is intended to relieve residents of their sole dependence upon individually owned shallow wells which are subject to septic tank contamination and salt water intrusion from flooding. No adverse effects are anticipated.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC USDA DOI
state and local agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70713)

Forest Service

Draft — Date

Fluorospar Prospecting, Lusk Creek Area 06/28

Illinois
County: Pope
Proposed is approval of an application made by the Ozark-Mahoning Company for a fluorospar prospecting permit on National Forest Lands with the Shawnee National Forest, State of Illinois, Pope County. Application includes tracts of lands which have in the past been recommended in various legislative proposals for inclusion in the Wilderness System. Adverse impacts include increased noise levels and disturbance of soil and vegetation on 4 to 10 acres.
(ELR ORDER # 70787)

Row,S.Umpqua, & N.Umpqua Units, Umpqua N.F. 06/06

Oregon
County: Douglas Lane Jackson
Proposed is a land management plan for the Row, South Umpqua, and North Umpqua Planning Units, totalling approximately one million acres on the Umpqua National Forest. For each unit, five alternative land use allocations are displayed and analyzed. Each alternative offers a different combination of lands allocated for uses which yield wood, water, forage, wildlife habitat, and outdoor recreation experience opportunities. The range of environmental effects for each alternative is discussed.
(ELR ORDER # 70705)

Prairie Dog Management, Nebraska N.F. 06/30

South Dakota Nebraska
Proposed is implementation of a management plan for prairie dogs on the public lands in Nebraska National Forest in South Dakota and Nebraska. Reductions in prairie dog colonies will be accomplished through the use of chemical toxicants. A key feature of the plan is to manage prairie dogs on a 92-square mile area of the Buffalo Gap National Grassland primarily to enhance habitat for the black-footed ferret. Anticipated effects will be a reduction in habitat available for species associated with prairie dogs other than black-footed ferrets, and a reduction in beef production by 186,750 lbs. per year.
(ELR ORDER # 70807)

Ashley N.F. Timber Management Plan 06/06

Utah Wyoming
Proposed is a timber management plan for the 1,377,000-acre Ashley National Forest located in Daggett, Duchesne, Summit, Uintah, and Wasatch Counties, Utah, and Sweetwater County, Wyoming. While the proposed plan is based on the 1969-72 multiple use plans, it is consistent with management decisions in two land use plans being developed, and

the Vernal Land Use Plan approved in 1974. By component, the potential yield is: Standard, 15.78 million board feet; Special, 2.00 million board feet; and Marginal, 8.08 million board feet for a total of 25.86 million board feet. (ELR ORDER # 70699)

Final — Date

Little Kern Unit Plan, Sequoia N.F. — 06/27

California
County: Tulare
This statement proposes a land use plan for 111,763 acres within the Sequoia National Forest, Tulare County, California. The plan, when implemented, will replace the existing Multiple Use Plan for this area and direct the management of the National Forest land within this Planning Unit. The selected alternative increases the area proposed for wilderness to 49,440 acres and reduces the trail access area to 18,160. Socio-economic impacts will result due to the mix of user groups able to enter Little Kern.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI HEW EPA DOT COE AHP
state and local agencies
interested groups and persons
(ELR ORDER # 70800)

Salmon River Wild & Scenic Rivers Proposal — 06/29

Idaho
County: several
Proposed is the inclusion of 237 miles of the Salmon River in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. The segment under consideration runs from North Fork, Idaho, to its confluence with the Snake River through Nezperce, Lewis, Idaho, and Lemhi Counties, Idaho. The purpose of the action is to control the impacts of development and increased recreation use within the river corridor. There are approximately 5,691 acres of private lands involving 150 owners within the proposed boundaries.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI USA HUD DOC DOT EPA FPC WRC
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70805)

Proposed Pere Marquette Wild and Scenic River — 06/29

Michigan
County: Lake Mason
The proposed action is the legislative designation of 66.4 miles of the Pere Marquette River and 13,000 adjoining acres as part of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. The action is intended to protect the unique natural resource values of the area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USA HUD FPC WRC EPA

state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70804)

Gallina Unit Plan, Santa Fe N.F. 06/16

New Mexico
County: Rio Arriba Sandoval
Proposed is a management plan for the 59,780 acre Gallina Planning Unit, Santa Fe National Forest. The proposal recognizes the need to continue commodity management at about the current level while continuing the protection of amenity values. Adverse effects include temporary impacts from commodity production resulting in soil disturbance, dust from unsurfaced roads, vegetative debris, and surface erosion when ground cover is reduced.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70747)

Red River Ski Area Expansion 06/16

New Mexico
County: Taos
Proposed is the further development of Red River Ski Area in Red River, New Mexico. The statement discusses three alternatives for expanding ski facilities, and describes the associated impacts. The resort is located on Carson National Forest lands, where a special permit for recreation has been granted.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA USDA DOI
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70748)

Skagit, Amendment of Wild and Scenic Rivers Act 06/22

Washington
County: Skagit Snohomish
The proposed action is to amend the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act to include segments of the Skagit, Cascade, Sauk, and Suiattle Rivers of Skagit and Snohomish County, Washington, in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers system. A total of 157.5 miles of rivers and 1,728 acres of property will be added to the system.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOC HEW HUD DOI EPA DOT FPC NRC
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70769)

Supplement Date

John Day Unit, Umatilla & Malheur N.F. 06/01

Oregon
County: Grant
This statement supplements a draft EIS filed with CEQ March 1, 1976. The proposed action is adoption of a land use plan for 594,212 acres of land within Malheur and Umatilla National Forests in east-central Oregon. The supplement provides additional information on roadless areas. One new roadless area has been inventoried and roadless acreage has been added to four existing inventoried roadless areas.
(ELR ORDER # 70682)

Rural Electrification Administration

Final	Date
Alaska 6 Golden Valley, Two 60MW Turbines	06/17

Alaska
Proposed is the construction of two 60-megawatt combustion turbines and associated transmission lines and substations at North Pole, Alaska, in the Fairbanks North Star Borough. A 69 kV transmission line to the Highway Park Substation (approximately 3 miles) and a 138 kV line to the proposed Bently Substation (approximately 17 miles) will be associated with the turbines. Operation of the units will result in the emission of nitrogen and sulfur oxides and an insignificant quantity of particulates.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOC DOI USDA RPC
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70751)

Supplement	Date
Reid Power Station (S-1)	06/30

Kentucky
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in April 1976. The proposed action is the addition of one or two (240 MW each) steam generating units at the Reid Station. This supplement serves to complete REA's and EPA's requirements under NEPA by presenting the environmental impacts associated with the discharge of effluents from the second unit, including the results of air quality modeling.
(ELR ORDER # 70808)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft	Date
S. Branch Little Nemaha Watershed	06/10

Nebraska
County: Johnson Lancaster Otoe
Proposed is the South Branch Little Nemaha Watershed Project, designed to provide watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement include land treatment measures (on-going and accelerated), 14 floodwater retarding structures, 46 grade stabilization structures, and associated on-farm terrace systems. All structural measures and beneficiaries are located in Johnson, Lancaster, and Otoe Counties, Nebraska. Adverse effects include the loss of 588 acres of agricultural land, 80 acres of forest land, and 30 acres of roads and channel area to structural measures.
(ELR ORDER # 70726)

Deposit Watersned, New York 06/06

New York
County: Broome Chenango Delaware
Proposed is a project for watershed protection and flood prevention in Broome, Chenango, and Delaware Counties, New York. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by two floodwater retarding structures, channel work, and dikes in residential and agricultural areas. Installation of structures will result in the commitment of 4 acres of pasture, 18 acres of open land formerly cropped, 3 acres of forest land, 2 acres of urban land, and 900 feet of intermittent stream. Short term increases in sediment and turbidity will also result.
(ELR ORDER # 70702)

Final Date

Blue Eye Creek Watershed, Alabama (2) 06/14

Alabama
County: Calhoun Talladega
Proposed is the Blue Eye Creek Watershed Project, which will provide watershed protection and flood prevention in Calhoun and Talladega Counties, Alabama. The project includes conservation land treatment measures and about four miles of channel work. Two floodwater retarding structures and about 1.8 miles of channel work have been installed. About 47 acres of forest land will be cleared for channel installation within the channel work rights-of-way.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD HEW DOI EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70739)

Harquahala Valley Watershed 06/23

Arizona

County: Maricopa Yuma
Proposed is a project for watershed protection and flood prevention, including both conservation land treatment and structural measures, in Maricopa and Yuma Counties, Arizona. Conservation land treatment is proposed for 13,000 acres of irrigated cropland and about 200,000 acres of rangeland. The proposed structural program includes two floodwater retarding structures, 1.58 miles of channel work, 4.64 miles of new diversion, and 9.45 miles of levee. About 10,472 acres of land will be required for land easements and rights-of-way.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70773)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Affairs
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 377-4335

Draft — Date

Rookery Bay Estuarine Sanctuary — 06/76

Florida
County: several
The proposed action is to award a grant to the State of Florida to acquire, develop, and operate an estuarine sanctuary in Rookery Bay. Boundaries of the existing 5,400 acre, privately maintained Rookery Bay Sanctuary would be expanded to include adjacent mangrove and upland transitional areas resulting in about 8,500 acres of land and water under State control. The action may result in potential conflicts between the sanctuary and adjacent owners in terms of drainage projects, mosquito control activities, and restrictions imposed by scientific research.
(ELR ORDER # 70746)

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Final — Date

Haddock, Cod, and Yellowtail Flounder M.P. — 06/09

Proposed is a management plan for the domestic harvesting of haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus), cod (Gadus Morhua), and yellowtail flounder (Limanda ferruginea) off the Northeastern United States. These fisheries were regulated under the terms of the 18-member International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (ICNAF), as amended until December 31, 1976., when the U.S. withdrew from the convention. This plan proposes regulatory measures, and restrictions regarding quotas, fishing areas, mesh size, and minimum size limits. Beneficial effects are anticipated.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI COE DOC
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70722)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy
Attn: DAEN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft — Date

FY '78, '79, Nav. Season Ext. Demonstration — 06/21

This EIS discusses the demonstration of various methods needed to extend the FY 1978 and '79 Navigation Season. (It is not in itself an EIS for the extension of the winter navigation season.) Thirty five methods and associated proposed studies are evaluated. Potential adverse impacts to water quality could result from accidental spills of oil and other toxic cargoes. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 70767)

Lakes Greeson, Ouachita, & DeGray, O&M — 06/09

Arkansas
County: several
Proposed is the continuance of operation and maintenance activities at Lake Greeson, Lake Ouachita, and DeGray Lake, Arkansas. The Lake Greeson and Lake Ouachita Projects were authorized for the purposes of flood control and hydroelectric power generation. The DeGray Lake project was authorized for flood control, hydroelectric power generation, water supply, navigation, and recreation. Adverse effects resulting from continued O&M include cold water release from hydroelectric power generation at Lake Greeson and Lake Ouachita, which has disrupted the once warmwater fishery downstream of each lake. (Vicksburg Ditrict)
(ELR ORDER # 70725)

Fisherman's Wharf, Light Draft Nav. (2) — 06/02

California
County: San Francisco
This statement is a revised version of a draft EIS submitted to CEQ in August, 1975. Proposed is construction of Fishing Craft Harbor in the Fisherman's Wharf Area, San Francisco, California. The harbor would consist of a 700-ft. concrete pile and baffle breakwater extending from Hyde Street Pier, a 1,200-ft. concrete sheet pile breakwater extending from the baffled breakwater with a 10-ft. wide concrete wallway, and a 370-ft. concrete pile and baffle breakwater extending along the bayward end of Pier 45. The revised DEIS contains supplemental data concerning

project economics. (San Francisco District)
(ELR ORDER # 70688)

Slag Filling Permit, Sparrows Point Plant 06/20

Maryland
County: Baltimore
The proposed action is the granting of two permits to the Bethlehem Steel Corporation to create new land in Baltimore Harbor at Sparrows Point by filling two separate sites with slag. Permit No. 30 is for a 45-acre site on the southern side of Sparrows Point to the west of the ore dock basin. Permit No. 27 is for a 7.3-acre site at the southeast corner of Sparrows Point. The action will result in destruction of 52 acres of bottom and water column, as well as an increase in pH in the vicinity of the slag. (Baltimore District)
(ELR ORDER # 70757)

Gulfport Harbor, Channel Deepening 06/20

Mississippi
County: Harrison
Proposed are the deepening and widening of the existing channel to Gulfport Harbor at Gulfport, Mississippi. The present 32- by 300-foot Gulf Entrance Channel would be enlarged to 38 by 400 feet and the channel across Mississippi Sound would be enlarged from 30 by 220 feet to 36 by 300 feet. Adverse effects include destruction of benthic activity in a 340-acre area, and loss of aquatic productivity in 310 acres of the sound. (Alabama District)
(ELR ORDER # 70765)

Upper White Oak Bayou & Tributaries 06/27

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action consists of constructing flood control improvements in upper White Oak Bayou and its tributaries, Cole and Vogel Creeks, in Harris County, Texas. Channel improvements considered for upper White Oak Bayou would extend from the terminus of the existing Federal flood control project at mile 10.7 to mile 19.9. For the tributary streams, Cole and Vogel Creeks, improvements would extend from their mouths at White Oak Bayou upstream 4.9 and 4.5 miles respectively. Adverse impacts include removal of about 30 acres of trees and about 31 acres of shrubs and brush along stream banks. (Galveston District)
(ELR ORDER # 70798)

Final Date

Rend Lake, Operation and Maintenance 06/02

Illinois
County: Franklin Jefferson
The proposed action is the operation and maintenance of Rend Lake in Franklin and Jefferson Counties, Illinois. The lake is an 18,900 acre multi-purpose reservoir completed in 1972. This statement describes and reviews:the environmental, economic, and social impacts created by the operation; the impacts or effects which will enhance or impair the project purposes; the effects of the reservoir upon the surrounding environment; and, the effects of the changing environment on the lake and its future operations. (Missouri District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP USDA DOC HUD DOI
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70693)

East Bend Station, Units 1 and 2 (Permit) 06/17

Kentucky
County: Boone
The proposed action is the issuance of a permit which would allow the construction and operation of a 1,200 megawatt coal-fired electric generation facility by the Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company. The project will involve approximately 938 acres of mostly agricultural private land. Wildlife habitat will be lost with resulting reduction in local wildlife populations. Nine families have already been relocated. Winnfield Cottage at Piatt's Landing, an historical property, will be subject to visual, audible, and atmospheric elements that are out of character for the site. An increase in barge traffic would also affect recreational boating. (Louisville District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DLAB HEW USDA FPC DOI DOT ORBC
state and local agencies
interested persons
(ELR ORDER # 70753)

Cape Cod Canal, Bourne & Sandwich, O & M 06/28

Massachusetts
County: Bourne
Proposed is the maintenance and operation of the Cape Cod Canal, including intermittent dredging of the main channel, mooring basins, and boat basins. In addition, the project includes maintenance and operation of a breakwater, a jetty, a railroad bridge, two highway bridges, three dikes, maintenance and administrative buildings, floating plant, electronic traffic control system, and service roads and recreation areas. This project would allow for continued safe navigation by commercial and recreational vessels. Permanent elimination of some benthic habitats is anticipated. (New England Division)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA AHP HUD FEA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70803)

Little River Inlet Navigation Proj. N.C. & S.C. (2) 06/06

North Carolina South Carolina
The proposed project pertains to the Little River Inlet Navigation Project, Brunswick County, North Carolina, and Horry County, South Carolina. The recommended plan of improvement calls for: dredging an entrance channel to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, dredging upcoast and downcoast deposition basins, constructing north and south jetties, and constructing sand dunes on both sides of the inlet. Adverse effects include alteration of existing vegetation, and possible displacement of wildlife species. (Charleston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC USDA HEW HUD DOT
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70707)

N.C. Phosphate Corp., Beaufort Co. Dredging 06/17

North Carolina
County: Beaufort
Proposed is the granting of a permit to the North Carolina Phosphate Corporation for dredging and filling activities in the vicinity of Aurora, Beaufort Co., North Carolina. Plans call for the dredging of a 25,000-ft. long, 125-ft. wide, 10.5-ft. deep access channel, a 2,000-ft. long, 250-ft. wide, 10.5-ft. deep turning basin, and 2 discharge canals. The purpose of the project is to provide for disposal of dredged materials and mineral wastes from phosphate mining. Adverse effects include alteration of the aquatic ecology of South Creek, as well as degradation of air and water. (Wilmington District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOC DOI HEW USCG
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70752)

Adkin Branch Flood Control Project 06/17

North Carolina
County: Lenoir
The proposed action consists of enlarging the existing channel on Adkin Branch from the Neuse River to Queen Street in the City of Kingston, North Carolina. The excavated material will be placed only on one side of the channel or will be removed to a landfill area. Adverse impacts include loss of about 28 acres of wooded land and ornamental trees and shrubbery, disruption of wildlife, and increased turbidity. (Wilmington District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW USDA HUD DOI FPC
state and local agencies
interested persons
(ELR ORDER # 70689)

Cooper Lake and Channels, Texas 06/24

Texas
The statement concerns the construction of a multipurpose reservoir and a channel and levees to provide 30-year flood protection for the Sulphur River Basin. Completion of the project will require construction of the reservoir with a dam and spillway works on the South Sulphur River at Mile 23.3, excavation of the spillway outflow channel and realignment of portions of the South Sulphur and Sulphur Rivers from the outflow channel to upstream of SH 26, and enlargement and/or extension of existing levees. Approximately 19,000 acres of terrestrial habitat will be inundated, and high sediment load will be discharged into Wright Patman Lake. (New Orleans District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA DOC USDA DOT HEW AHP
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70780)

Upper Baker Project, Skagit River Basin (2) 06/24

Washington
County. Skagit Whatcom
This final EIS presents potential effects of a proposed modification in the operation of the Upper Baker Dam for flood control purposes. The details of this modification concentrate on providing up to 58,000 additional acre-feet of flood control storage by increasing reservoir drawdown in the period 1 November to 15 November of each year. Environmental impacts associated with the project are expected to be minimal. As a flood threat would still remain, human occupation and development of flood-plain lands are expected to increase significantly. (Seattle District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: FPC USDA EPA DOC DOI HUD AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 70782)

Supplement Date

San Leandro Marina, Dredging (S-2) 06/23

California
County: Alameda
The statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in December of 1975. The proposed action is land disposal of 325,000 cubic yards of dredge material from the routine maintenance dredging of the Federal Navigation Project at the San Leandro Marina. Adverse effects include disruption of on-site ecosystems; loss of 112 acres of marginal wildlife habitat; temporary inundation of 108 acres of seasonal wetland, with short-term displacement of wildlife; and short

term adverse sensory effects on nearby residents.
(San Francisco District)
(ELR ORDER # 70771)

Aquatic Plant Control, Florida (S-1) 06/10

Florida
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in September, 1973 relating to the Aquatic Plant Control Program in Florida. It concerns the inclusion of hydrilla and water lettuce in the Corps' ongoing aquatic plant control program as authorized by Section 302 of Public Law 89-298. The proposed control program calls for the herbicidal maintenance of fishing trails and open water areas in water bodies seriously infested with hydrilla, and localized treatments of water lettuce only when it poses a serious threat to water resource activities.
(Jacksonville District)
(ELR ORDER # 70727)

Big Sioux R., Sioux City (S-1) 06/24

Iowa South Dakota
This statement supplements a final EIs filed with CEQ in September 1975. Proposed is the construction of channel improvements on the Big Sioux River at Sioux City. The supplement discusses the results and conclusions of the water quality survey, including the potential for water quality degradation. (Omaha District)
(ELR ORDER # 70786)

Wilkerson Creek Bridge Replacement (S-1) 06/24

North Carolina
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in May 1977. The proposed action is replacement of the Wilkerson Creek Bridge in North Carolina. The supplement evaluates the impacts of the use of new borrow areas. Negative effects include loss of terrestrial wildlife habitat and creation of borrow pit lakes of unknown quality for aquatic life. (Wilmington District)
(ELR ORDER # 70781)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Ed Johnson
Head, Environmental Impact Statement/
RDT&E Branch
Office of the Chief of Naval Operations
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20350
(202) 697-3689

Draft — Date

Ammunition Port Facility, Mariana Island — 06/20

T. Territory
Proposed is the construction of an ammunition port facility at Orote Island located on the western tip of Orote Peninsula. The port would be capable of berthing two ships simultaneously and would have the capacity to handle up to 9,000,000 pounds of net explosive weight with an explosive safety quantity distance of 10,400 feet. The port will have a dredged depth of 45 feet below mean lower low water. The dredging of 21 acres of the reef area to a depth of 45 feet will result in increased turbidity and destruction of 800,000 cubic yards of coral.
(ELR ORDER # 70763)

Fleet Ballistic Missile, Kings Bay — 06/24

Georgia
The proposed action consists of the construction and operation of an Atlantic Fleet submarine refit site at Kings Bay, Georgia. Plans call for the basing of one submarine squadron supported by a submarine repair ship and a floating drydock. The statement also considers the possibility of future expansion of operations at the site to accommodate two submarine squadrons, as well as the possible construction and operation of an ashore refit facility to support either one or two submarine squadrons. Adverse impacts include loss of benthic population in the northern navigation channels, displaced wildlife, and socio-economic effects resulting from an increase in population.
(ELR ORDER #70792)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Ms. Rebecca W. Hamner
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room WSMW 537, 401 M Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0777

Draft — Date

Albuquerque Wastewater Treatment Facilities — 06/13

New Mexico
Proposed is the construction of 105 miles of new interceptor and collector sewer lines for the City of Albuquerque. Also, relief interceptors will be constructed parallel to overloaded lines and lift and odor control stations will be upgraded. It is proposed that 1 mgd of effluent be sold to the Public Service Company of New Mexico for use as cooling water. All other effluent will be discharged to the Rio Grande as at present. Adverse impacts include consumption of energy, materials, chemicals and financial resources; generation of small amounts of non-recycled wastes; and continued discharge to the River.
(Region VI)
(ELR ORDER # 70734)

Final — Date

Shell Chem. Co. Polypropylene Plant - Permit — 06/15

Louisiana
County: St Charles
The proposed action is the issuance of a new source NPDES permit for discharge of plant effluent and stormwater from Shell Chemical Co.'s proposed polypropylene plant into Bayou Trepaguier, Louisiana. The proposed plant, located on a 64-acre site in Marco/Goodhope, will produce polypropylene pellets. An average of 680 gallons/minute of clarified water from the Mississippi R. will be used for cooling tower makeup, utility water, and wash systems for railroad cars and the polypropylene blender silos. Plant operation will result in emmissions of hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulates.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA AHP COE DOT DOI EPA
state and local agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70743)

Westside Trunk Wastewater Facilities Plan — 06/08

Oregon
County: Jackson
Proposed is the construction of a wastewater collection system to serve the 2,000 residents of the Westside Trunk

District, Jackson County, Oregon. Wastewater from the 5400-acre District, located southwest of Central Point, would be transported to Lower Bear Creek Interceptor and then be treated at the Medford Regional Sewage Treatment Plant on Rogue River. Negative effects include short term losses of vegetation, disruption of wildlife, and increased air and noise pollution. (Region X)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD DOI COE AHP
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70721)

Cranston Wastewater Treatment Facilities 06/24

Rhode Island
County: Providence
The proposed action is the consolidation, improvement, and expansion of wastewater treatment facilities in Cranston, Rhode Island. Project plans call for the expansion of treatment plant capacity to 23.0 mgd, with secondary and ammonia removal capabilities. Incineration with on-site ash disposal will be the method of sludge disposal. Negative impacts include a small increase of total suspended particulates, sulfur dioxide and lead in the air, increased use of fuel for solid waste incineration, and loss of 7 sq. miles of forest and farm land. (Region I)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOI USDA DOT DOC
state and local agencies
interested groups and persons
(ELR ORDER # 70695)

Madison Organic Solids Reuse Plan 06/02

Wisconsin
County: Dane
Proposed is a plan to satisfy the sludge management needs of the Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District service area. The plan calls for abandoning the present system of lagoon disposal of liquid anaerobically digested sludge and adopting a system of land disposal of liquid anaerobically digested sludge on privately owned agricultural land. The program would involve marketing the sludge to farmers at their request. Adverse effects, including odor problems, are expected to be minimal. (Region V)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70686)

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Robert Stern
Director, Office of Environmental Programs
Federal Energy Administration
New Post Office Building, Room 7119
12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 566-9760

Draft	Date
Petroleum Feedstocks to Synthetic Nat. Gas Plants	06/01

Proposed is the modification of FEA's current program of case-by-case review of applications for the allocation of naptha and natural gas liquids (NGL) for use in the manufacture of synthetic natural gas (SNG). This programmatic EIS presents the impacts in 1980 and 1985 of 1) continuation of the current program (Review of each petition), and 2) modification of that program through 1 of 5 options ranging from the adoption of a more restrictive policy toward the use of naptha and NGL for SNG manufacture, to complete removal of control on these products.
(ELR ORDER # 70683)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director, Environmental Affairs Division
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 566-0405

Final Date

W. Denver Metro Area GSA Leasing Program 06/20

Colorado
County: Jefferson
This statement discusses the cumulative effect of the GSA leasing program in the west Denver metro area. Proposed is the leasing of approximately 350,000 to 400,000 net occupiable square feet of additional office, storage and special purpose space by January 1980 in a 36 square mile delineated area having the following boundaries: Jewell Avenue on the south; Sheriden Boulevard on the east; 32nd Avenue on the north; and Mc Intyre Street (extended to Jewell Avenue) on the west. The space will be leased during the entire period and throughout the entire delineated area, usually in blocks of 20,000 square feet or more.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOC HEW DOI DOT
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70760)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft — Date

Kendall Square Urban Renewal — 06/24

Massachusetts
County: Cambridge
Proposed is the completion of an on-going urban renewal project approved in 1965 and centered around NASA in the eastern part of the City of Cambridge, Massachusetts. The planned uses of the Kendall Square Urban Renewal Project are light industry, technical and general office space, retail stores, a fire station, possible hotel and residential, and a parking garage. Negative effects include complete relocation of 6 businesses, and construction related impacts.
(ELR ORDER # 70791)

Nassau Woods Development — 06/10

New Jersey
County: Somerset
Proposed is the issuance of FHA Morgage Insurance for the development of a 668 unit garden apartment development, located in Franklin Township, New Jersey. The project consists of 668 garden apartment units on 70 acres of land; a sewage treatment plant discharging to the Millstone River; and sewers and appurtenances connecting the apartment site to the treatment plant, and the treatment plant to its outfall. Parking will be provided for 1177 cars.
(ELR ORDER # 70729)

Metropolis Development, Carolina, P.R. — 06/06

Puerto Rico
The proposed action involves the development of 365.14 cuerdas (1 cda. = 0.97 acre), in the Martin Gonzalez Ward of Carolina, into a residential area, under plans already approved by all pertinent Commonwealth agencies. The project provides for the construction of approximately 2,970 residential units, of which 1,775 will be single family dwellings. Adverse effects include alteration of topography, elimination of existing flora, and increases in noise and fugitive dust levels.
(ELR ORDER # 70701)

Stonefield Subdivision 06/23

South Carolina
County: Charleston
Proposed is the approval of HUD/FHA mortgage insurance for the Stonefield Subdivision in the Charleston area, South Carolina. The development will consist of 1,079 dwelling units on a 330-acre tract of land. Development plans include a golf course with club facilities, tennis courts, swimming pools and a boat basin/marina. Adverse effects will be conversion of open and wooded land to urban use, and some increase in storm water runoff into Clark Sound and Seaside Creek.
(ELR ORDER # 70777)

Atascocita Subdivision, Texas 06/07

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the granting of HUD/FHA approval for the proposed Atascocita Subdivision for home mortgage insurance purposes. Johnson-Loggins, Inc. is developing the approximately 5234 acre subdivision, and envisions the construction of approximately 16,255 dwelling units with additional acreage reserved for commercial, school, open space, and recreational use. Adverse effects include localized increases in air and noise pollution, and alternation of plant and animal communities in Atascocita.
(ELR ORDER # 70718)

Ranch Country Subdivision 06/16

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the development of 399 acres into a planned community, to be known as Ranch Country Subdivision. The project will be composed of single family homes, and commercial reserves, and will provide housing for approximately 5000 people. The development will result in increased loading of solid waste disposal sites, increased groundwater consumption, and increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence upon the automobile for transportation.
(ELR ORDER # 70749)

Kenswick Subdivision 06/20

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the approval of FHA mortgage insurance for the Kenswick subdivision in Harris County, Texas. Plans call for the development of 724 acres into a planned community composed of 2,300 single-family homes with some commercial reserves. In addition to the resi-

dences, the subdivision will have recreational facilities to include one bathhouse, one 25-meter swimming pool, playground facilites and tennis courts, as well as some open space. Adverse effects include loss of forestland and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation.
(ELR ORDER # 70764)

Greengate Place and Birnam Wood 06/27

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is acceptance of, for HUD/FHA home mortgage insurance purposes, some 723 acres of land (368-acre tract of Greengate Place and 255-acre tract of Birnam Wood) located in the northern part of Harris County, Texas. The development of the two tracts located within a mile of each other will be composed primarily of single family dwellings consisting of approximately 3000 units. Adverse effects will be loss of grazing land and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation.
(ELR ORDER # 70793)

Final Date

Aliso Hills, Orange County 06/06

California
County: Orange
Proposed is the provision of mortgage insurance, if requested, on 791 acres of various residential sites including open sites in a south-central section of Orange County, California. Adverse effects include conversion of agricultural land to urban use, elimination of native wildlife and flora, modification of natural land forms through grading, increases in air and noise pollution, and increases in public service, energy, and facilities demand.
COMMENTS MADE BY: GSA DOC DOT USA USDA HEW EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70696)

Bent Tree Planned Unit Development 06/27

Florida
County: Dade
The proposed project is a 320-acre Planned Unit Development in Dade County, Florida. The development will contain approximately 1095 town house units, 196 quadraplex units, and 247 single family detached cluster residences, for a total of 1925 residential units. There will be recreational facilities consisting of two lakes, tot lots, a school-park site, and common green areas. There will also be a commercial site near the center of the development.

Adverse effects include noise and air pollution.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE FPC GSA EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70794)

Indian Oaks Subdivision 06/27

Illinois
County: Will
Proposed is the provision of F.H.A. mortgage insurance 221(d)4 for 212 multi-family apartment units that comprise an intermediate stage of a planned unit development that already comprises 1,388 units and will eventually total 2,250 units. Few adverse effects are anticipated due to the fact that the natural environment has already been altered on the southern portion of the site, having been cleared years ago. The northern portion of the area is still wooded and will remain so even after development.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI FPC DOT USDA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70795)

Merrillville Terrace Multifamily Project 06/15

Indiana
County: Lake
Proposed is the development of Merrillville Terrace on 60.2 acres over a period of 5 years. The first phase of development will be 426 multi-family units (out of a total of 797) on 36.33 acres. Plans call for an open space recreation area with clubhouse, pool, and tennis courts. Commercial development is planned on 17.2 acres adjoining on the west by a different developer. Construction of Merrillville Terrace will result in slightly increased vehicular use of existing streets in the area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP USDA DOD FPC GSA DOI DOT
state and local agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70741)

Estancias de Rio Hondo Development, San Juan 06/06

Puerto Rico
Proposed is the construction of approximately 1,625 housing units and a large shopping center on a tract of 300 acres. The project is located in the Hato Tejas Ward of Bayamon, Puerto Rico in the Metropolitan Area of San Juan. Adverse effects include the shifting of 300 acres of land from grazing to residential uses and increase in noise levels in areas close to the De Diego Expressway and Comerio Avenue.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP ERDA EPA DOI HEW USDA

interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70694)

Williamsburg Subdivision 06/22

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the granting of FHA approval for housing insurance, to the Williamsburg Development Corporation, to be applied to the 2595-acre "Williamsburg Subdivision" in Harris County, Texas. Adverse effects of project development include the disruption of plant and animal communities on the existing site. Projected demand for community services, specifically fire protection and library facilities, will exceed existing capacity.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA COE USDA DOI
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70770)

Memorial Parkway Subdivision, Harris Co. 06/30

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is the acceptance for HUD/FHA mortgage insurance purposes of the 1,109-acre Memorial Parkway Subdivision located in Harris County, Texas. When completed in approximately 6 years, the subdivision will contain 3,842 single-family homes plus some attached single-family and multi-family housing, and shopping and recreational facilities. Adverse impacts will be removal of agricultural land from production and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT COE DOI USDA EPA AHP
state and local agencies
interested groups and persons
(ELR ORDER # 70809)

Supplement Date

West Side Housing Development (S-1) 06/28

Colorado
County: Denver
This statement supplements a final EIS submitted to CEQ in January 1973. The proposed project, the West Side Housing Development, was never approved due to lack of funds. The addendum describes the present proposal which consists of acquisition of 11 parcels of land and the demolition of 12 structures. Redevelopment plans include construction of 80 units of medium-high density high-rise apartments and 60 units of medium density garden apartments.
(ELR ORDER # 70802)

Section 104(h)

Draft Date

Pine Bluff, Ark. - W. Side Sewer & Drain 06/02

The proposed action is the installation of a sanitary sewer system to serve several hundred acres of the southwest section of the city of Pine Bluff, Arkansas. The project will consist of construction of four mains extending westward from the Redland trunk line to the Pine Bluff city limits. Adverse impacts include clearing of woodlands, erosion and siltation, cuts through street paving, and increased load on the pumping station and oxidation ponds.
(ELR ORDER # 70684)

Denver, Col. - Sloan Lake Sanitary Sewer 06/27

Colorado
County: Denver
Proposed are improvements to the existing Sloan Lake Sanitary Sewer System in Denver, Colorado. Plans call for the replacement of existing aged or damaged main sanitary sewer lines in the drainage basin. Construction-related impacts are expected, as well as socio-economic impacts due to an increased population.
(ELR ORDER # 70801)

Jefferson, La. - Marrero to Lafitte Waterline 06/06

Louisiana
County: Jefferson
Proposed is the construction of a water line from Marrero to Lafitte in Jefferson Parish, Louisiana, to provide improved water service to the people of Ward Six of the parish and to provide for the area's domestic, recreational, commercial, and industrial needs. The water line would originate as a 36-inch diameter pipe in Marrero and would terminate approximately seventeen miles south near the end of State Highway 45,Lafitte, as an 18-inch diameter pipe. Its size would be reduced sequentially as it moves south from Marrero. Construction of the pipeline will remove approximately 20.4 acres of land from future development.
(ELR ORDER # 70710)

E. Baton Rouge, La. - Beaver Bayou Project 06/07

Louisiana
County: East Baton Rouge
Proposed is the improvement of the Beaver Bayou in East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana. The project involves the construction of an open trapezoidal section earth channel generally following the existing alignment. This channel

will be of varying depth and bottom width with side slopes ranging from 2:1 to 3:1 depending on both design criteria and existing subsoil conditions. The project drains approximately 8640 acres of the northeastern portion of East Baton Rouge Parish.
(ELR ORDER # 70714)

High Point, N.C. - Big Ditch 06/27

North Carolina
Proposed are improvements to Big Ditch stream in High Point, N.C. Corrective methods proposed for the Big Ditch include: 1) piping the stream from West Grimes to West Ward Avenues; 2) retaining open flow but improving the stream channel for the same length of stream; or 3) a combination of piping and channel improvements. The action will result in increased erosion and stream sedimentation, removal of trees, and flooding of adjacent structures.
(ELR ORDER # 70784)

Tarrant Co., Tex. - County Water Project 06/27

Texas
County: Tarrant
Proposed is the adoption of a water project for Bedford, Euless, Collyville, Grapevine, and North Richland Hills in northeast Tarrant County, Texas. Plans call for the expansion of the existing plant, along with completion of the following items: raw water storage and booster pump facility; 9 miles of raw water transmission line; 11 miles of treated water transmission line; and approximately 110 acres of right-of-way with 28 acres of easements. The action will result in alterations to land forms, streams and drainage patterns; increased erosion; and construction-related impacts.
(ELR ORDER # 70797)

Final | Date

Willowbrook, Cal. - Willowbrook Dev. Project | 06/10

California
County: Los Angeles
Proposed is the implementation of the Willowbrook Neighborhood Development Program in Los Angeles, California. The project goals include: improvement of the range and quality of housing, orderly growth and development around the Martin Luther King Memorial Hospital/Drew Medical School complex; and provision of recreational, community educational, and commercial facilities and services to meet community needs. Construction and demolition associated impacts will occur.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT
state and county agencies
(ELR ORDER # 70728)

Mason City, Iow. - So. Federal Ave. | 06/06

Iowa
County: Cerro Gordo
The proposed project calls for redevelopment measures on South Federal Avenue, Mason City, Iowa. Project plans consist of clearance and redevelopment of approximately 15 acres of downtown property, provision of up to 450,000 square feet of central business type commercial development, and the provision of public improvements, amenities and parking. The project area is bounded by Delaware Avenue on the east, Washington Avenue on the west, 4th Street S.W. on the south, and its north boundary is approximately one-half block north of 1st Street south. Adverse effects include impacts on air quality and noise levels.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA
local groups
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70698)

DeKalb Co., Tenn. - 3rd Year Water Line | 06/27

Tennessee
County: DeKalb
Proposed is the installation of 18 miles of 6-inch, 4-inch, and 2-inch plastic potable water line in DeKalb County, Tennessee. The project will provide potable water for 135 rural families who have been consuming well water that stands an 80% chance of being polluted. Water will also be available in adequate amounts for firefighting purposes. Temporary construction related impacts are expected.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70799)

Supplement	Date
Boston, Mass. - Demolition, Unsafe Structures (S-1)	06/23

Massachusetts
This statement supplements a final EIS submitted to CEQ in January 1976. The proposed action is to demolish 900 abandoned and unsafe residential buildings over a period of two years. The program will be implemented in 7 areas of the city: Roxbury, Dorchester, Jamaica Plain, South Boston, Franklin Field, Upham's Corner, and Mattapan. Demolition will result in increases in noise and dust levels.
(ELR ORDER # 70774)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 4256 Interior Bldg.
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Final — Date

Mancos Canyon Indian Park — 06/13

Colorado
County: Montezuma
The proposed action is the development of the Mancos Canyon Indian Park that will protect, preserve, and interpret numerous rivers located in Mancos Canyon on the Ute Mountain Ute Indian Reservation. The project includes a modern access road, foot trails, camp facilities in the park, and a modern motel and restaurant near the park entrance. Adverse impacts resulting from the development will be the excavation of ruins, and the introduction of many visitors to a relatively unvisited area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI AHP
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70733)

Bureau of Land Management

Final — Date

Planet Townsite Land Exchange — 06/20

Arizona
County: Mohave
This proposal concerns two land exchanges involving a total of 8,660 acres of public land along the Bill Williams River, in order to consolidate private and state holdings for the development by Arizona Ranch and Metal Co. of a planned city of 7,000 families on 11,980 acres. Adverse impacts will include possible minor climatic changes; change in vegetation; diversion of water from agricultural use and degradation of quality; and displacement of wildlife.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70762)

Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources — 06/23

Colorado Utah
The proposed action is the consideration of a right-

of-way permit for an oil shale products pipeline from a plant site in Colorado to Lisbon Valley, Utah. Related to this Federal action would be a 337-acre exchange between BLM and Colony Development Corporation. Adverse impacts include emission of odors characteristic of refining and shale processing, decrease in water quality, destruction of a section of the Middle Fork Creek stream bed, and increased sedimentation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOI DOT DOC
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70772)

Bureau of Reclamation

Final	Date
S. Nevada Water Project, Second Stage	06/07

Nevada
County: Clark
Proposed is the second stage of the Southern Nevada Water Project, a water supply system for the Las Vegas area. (Stage One was completed in 1971). The second stage consists of five new pumping plants, modifications to four existing first stage pumping plants, a 2.5-mile long second barrel to the main aqueduct installed beside the first stage, and 30 miles of new aqueduct and pipelines with a capacity of 166,800 acre-feet per year. The State of Nevada plans a major expansion of the Alfred Merritt Smith Water Treatment Facility in conjunction with the second stage. A slight deterioration of the water quality is expected.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI AHP USAP HEW DOT COE EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70717)

Geological Survey

Final	Date
E. Decker & No. Extension Mines, Decker Coal Co.	06/13

Montana
County: Big Horn
The proposed action is the surface-mining and reclamation of the East Decker and North Extension mines in Big Horn County, Montana. The Decker Coal Co. plans to open a new mine with plant and loading facilities to remove 180 million tons of low-sulfur coal from a 3500-acre area, over the next 20 years. The relocation of short sections of a PAS highway and a county road will be necessary. The mining activity will result in the removal of shallow aquifers, allowing for leaching of soils and erosion; alteration of soil mixtures on 4,000-5,000 acres; reduction

in air quality; and loss of 6,300 acres of grazing land.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA FPC EPA HEW FEA ERDA USA
state and local agencies
interested groups and persons
(ELR ORDER # 70731)

Crow Indian Ceded Area Tract III, Mining and Recl 06/01

Montana
County: Bighorn
Proposed is approval of a 20-year mining and reclamation plan submitted by Westmoreland Resources for strip mining of 190.6 million tons of coal for shipment from Montana, Crow Indian Ceded Area. The plan includes the area contained in the Crow tribal lease 1420-0252-4088 and Montana state lease C-1047-70. The plan would cover an additional 1958 acres (1644 acres of which are on the Crow tribal lease and 314 under state coal lease) in the area known as Tract III.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP ERDA COE HEW DIAB
USDA DOI
state and local agencies
concerned individuals
(ELR ORDER #70666)

National Park Service

Draft **Date**

Lassen Volcanic National Park **06/13**

California
County: several
Proposed is adoption of a general management plan for Lassen Volcanic National Park, located in Lassen, Plumas, Shasta, and Tehama Counties, California. The purpose of the action is to guide park management relative to development and visitor use. Major features of the plan include removal and relocation of facilities in the Manzanita Lake area due to a rockfall-avalanche hazard; and redesignation of Lassen ski area to reduce congestion and optimize proportionate use of terrain. The action will result in reduction in opportunities for harvesting timber, generating geothermal power, and grazing livestock.
(ELR ORDER # 70744)

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Contact: Mr. David R. Bell
Chief, Office of Environmental and Economic
Impact Assessment
Room N-3673
Washington, D.C. 20210
(202) 523-7076

Draft	Date
Benzene, Occupational Exposure Standard	06/10

Proposed is the regulation of employee exposure to benzene by setting a permissable exposure limit of 1 part benzene per million parts of air (1 ppm) as an 8-hour time-weighted average. The proposal also provides for employee exposure measurements, methods of compliance, protective clothing and equipment, medical surveillance, training, signs and labels, employee observation of monitoring, and record-keeping. Beneficial impact on the workplace environment is anticipated.
(ELR ORDER # 70730)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Voss A. Moore
Assistant Director for Environmental Projects
P-518
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-8446

Draft — Date

Yellow Creek Nuclear Plants, 1 & 2 — 06/24

Mississippi
County: Tishomingo
The proposed action is the issuance of construction permits to the Tennessee Valley Authority for the construction of the Yellow Creek Nuclear Plants Units 1&2. The plant will employ 2 pressurized water reactors to produce up to 3817 megawatts thermal (MWt) from each unit. The exhaust steam will be cooled by mechanical-draft cooling towers with makeup water obtained from the Yellow Creek embayment and discharged to Pickwick Lake. Construction related activities on the site would disturb about 59 hectares.
(ELR ORDER # 70789)

Blue Hills Sta. Units 1 & 2 — 06/02

Texas
County: Newton
The proposed action is the construction by Gulf States Utilities Company of the Blue Hills Station Units 1 and 2 in eastern Texas. The station will employ 2 pressurized water reactors to produce outputs of approximately 2814 MWt each. Two steam turbine generators will use the heat produced to provide approximately 957 MWe (gross) each. The action will result in commitment of approximately 123 acres, and may have adverse effects on the red-cockaded woodpecker, an endangered species. Site preparation and construction may adversely impact the Mill Creek Watershed.
(ELR ORDER # 70685)

Lucky Mc Uranium Mill — 06/17

Wyoming
County: Fremont
The proposed action is the continuation of Iowa Material License SUA-672 (with amendment) issued to Utah International Inc. for the operation of the Lucky Mc Uranium Mill in the Gas Hills region of Fremont County, Wyoming. The application for amendment proposes to increase the mill capacity to 2000 tons (1820 MT) of ore per day and increase the storage capacity of the tailings ponds to permit the continuation of present production rates of U308 through 1987 using lower-grade ores. Adverse effects include alteration of 200 acres of sagebrush-grassland,

and construction-related impacts.
(ELR ORDER # 70755)

Final — Date

Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2 — 06/30

Arkansas
County: Pope
Proposed is the issuance of an operating license to the Arkansas Power and Light Company for the startup and operation of Arkansas Nuclear One-Unit 2, located on Lake Dardanelle, 2 miles southeast of the village of London and 6 miles northwest from the City of Russellville, Arkansas. The unit will employ a pressurized-water reactor to produce up to 2825 megawatts thermal. A steam turbine-generator will use this heat to provide up to 950 megawatts electrical of electrical power capacity. The exhaust steam will be cooled by a closed-cycle cooling system using a natural-draft tower to dissipate waste heat to the atmosphere.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE EPA HEW DOI DOT
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70806)

Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station — 06/13

Ohio
County: Clermont
Proposed is the issuance of a license to the Cincinnati Gas and Electric Co., the Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Co., and the Dayton Power and Light Co. for the startup and operation of the Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station located on the Ohio River, 24 miles southeast of Cincinnati. The plant will employ a boiling water reactor to produce up to 2436 MWt of electrical power capacity. A steam turbine-generator will use this heat to provide up to 840 MWe of electrical power capacity. Exhaust steam will be condensed by water circulated through a natural-draft cooling tower.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOI ERDA EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70735)

Bear Creek Project, Rocky Mtn. Energy Co. — 06/08

Wyoming
County: Converse
The proposed action is the issuance of approvals, permits, and licenses to the Rocky Mountain Energy Company for the implementation of the Bear Creek Project. This project consists of certain mining and milling operations involving uranium ore deposits located in Converse Coun-

ty, Wyoming. Mining of uranium from nine known ore bodies will take place over a period of ten years; a mill with a nominal capacity of 1000 tons per day will be constructed and operated as long as ore is available. The waste material will be stored on site in an impoundment. Adverse effects include loss of 3000 acres of grazing land and disturbance of 1800 acres of vegetation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA USCG NRC HEW USDA AHP EPA DOT DOI ERDA
(ELR ORDER # 70719)

Supplement	Date
Midland Plant, U. 1 & 2 (S-2)	06/24

Michigan
County: Midland
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in March 1972. The proposed action is the continuance of the construction permits issued on December 15, 1972 to Consumers Power Company for the construction of Midland Plant Units 1 and 2. The supplement assesses energy conservation as an alternative to plant construction and reevaluates the need for power in light of any changed circumstances concerning Dow Chemical Co.'s need for process steam.
(ELR ORDER # 70783)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Final — Date

Oakland-Pontiac Airport, Runway 9R-27L — 06/13

Michigan
County: Oakland
Proposed is the extension of Runway 9R-27L of the Oakland-Pontiac Airport, Pontiac, Michigan. Project plans involve the acquisition of land for approach protection, construction and lighting of an easterly extension to Runway 9R-27L, the resurfacing of the runway and parallel taxiway and apron, and construction and lighting of a connecting taxiway including holding apron. Adverse effects of project implementation include the relocation of three households. The runway extension will cause air pollution and noise to increase.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT COE AHP USDA
state and local agencies
interested groups and persons
(ELR ORDER # 70736)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft — Date

I-291, Greater Hartford Area — 06/06

Connecticut
County: Hartford
Proposed is the construction of a multi-lane, limited access, suburban highway in the Greater Hartford area. The alignment of I-291 would extend from an interchange with Interstate Route 84 in Farmington, Connecticut, southeasterly through the towns of New Britain, Newington, Wethersfield, and Rock Hill, Connecticut to an interchange with Interstate Route 91 in the Town of Rock Hill, Connecticut. The proposed construction would necessitate the displacement of 190 parcels of land, 93 households, and 35 businesses. A 4(f) statement is included for Churchill Park and Eagle Lantern Village Open Space.
(ELR ORDER # 70703)

Lake City Western Bypass — 06/23

Florida

County: Columbia
The proposed action is construction of a new perimeter highway around the western part of Lake City, Florida. The project would begin at the junction of State Road S-252 and State Road 25 (U.S.-41) south, intersecting S.R. 47, S.R. S-341, S.R. 247, S.R. 10(U.S. 90), S.R. S-250 and would terminate at the junction of S.R. S-100-A and S.R. 25 (U.S.-41) north. The adverse effects generated by the proposed improvement include loss of agricultural and wood lands, impacts on Lake Harris, and increased air and noise pollution. (Region 4)
(ELR ORDER # 70779)

F.A.S. S-59 (S.R. 17), S.R. 10 - U.S. 30 06/20

Indiana
County: Marshall
Proposed is the relocation of a segment of existing S.R. 17 west of the corporate limits of Plymouth, Indiana. The project improvement would begin at the intersection of S.R. 17 and S.R. 10 immediately north of Culver, and terminate at the intersection of S.R. 17 and U.S. 30. The facility would be a two lane roadway constructed with partial access control and a minimum right-of-way width of 60 feet, and would have a total length of 2.3 to 2.5 miles. Adverse effects include encroachment on wetland vegetation and wildlife, loss of pine tree stands, and the severance of some family farms. (Region 5)
(ELR ORDER # 70758)

Relocation of LA 70 at Pierre Port 06/02

Louisiana
County: Assumption
The proposed action is construction of a land service facility to be located in Assumption Parish, Louisiana. Starting 0.4 mile south of the Pierre Part Settlement, the project proposes a new alignment for La. 70, ending at the Pierre Pass bridge in the town of Pierre Part. The total length, subject to the alternate selected, will be from 3.24 to 3.85 miles long. The action will result in permanent loss of wetlands and cropland acreage, and increases in air, water, and noise pollution. (Region 6)
(ELR ORDER # 70691)

I-93 & U.S. 1 Interchange, Boston & Cambridge 06/06

Massachusetts
County: Suffolk
Proposed is the reconstruction of the expressway interchange of Interstate 93 (I-93) with the Mystic River Bridge (Route 1) in the city of Boston (Charlestown section) and Cambridge, by either replacement of existing viaduct roadway, or total construction of the interchange in a new configuration. One "No-Build" and two "Build" alternatives

are under consideration. Of the two "Build" alternatives, Alternative 2 replaces viaduct roadways and produces surface street improvements; Alternative 3 would realign approaches from I-93 to the Mystic River Bridge on a new right-of-way, improve local surface streets, and remove the existing overhead structures. (Region 1)
(ELR ORDER # 70706)

Logan Street/DeWitt Road, Kalamazoo St. - I-69 06/21

Michigan
County: several
Proposed is improvement of Logan Street/DeWitt Road from Kalamazoo Street in Lansing to the proposed I-69 in DeWitt Township, a total length of 6 miles. The improvement would provide a fast, safe, and efficient transportation link between I-496 and I-69. Adverse effects include loss of agricultural land, displacement of families and residences, and increases in air and noise pollution. (Region 5)
(ELR ORDER # 70766)

Tenth Street South Upgrade, St. Cloud 06/06

Minnesota
County: Stearns Sherburne
The proposed improvement calls for upgrading the Tenth Street Corridor, which is located in the south-central portion of the St. Cloud Metropolitan Area. The Tenth Street Corridor begins at 39th Avenue and extends approximately four miles to U.S. 10/52; the corridor spans the Mississippi River. About two-thirds of the proposed arterial follows existing street and the remainder is in undeveloped areas of the city. Four distinct alternates are under consideration, two providing for a two-lane bridge and roadway, one providing for a four-lane bridge and roadway,and one providing for no action. A 4(f) statement is included conerning Riverside Park. (Region 5)
(ELR ORDER # 70709)

P.A.P. 24, Bonner-Potomac 06/23

Montana
County: Missoula
Proposed is contruction of a segment of Federal Aid Primary Route No. 24 in Missoula County, Montana. The project begins 6.7 miles northeast of Bonner and extends to the east, 8.8 miles to the vicinity of Potomac. After completion, the new road will have a 40-foot finished top width with two 12-foot traffic lanes and two 8-foot shoulders. The impacts on water quality involved in this project will vary depending on the alternate selected.
(Region 8)
(ELR ORDER # 70775)

S.R. 126, N.M. Forest Hwy. 12 06/23

New Mexico
County: Sandoval
The proposed action involves reconstruction of New Mexico Forest Highway 12 (State Route 126) in Sandoval County, New Mexico. The project would begin at Senorito Divide and continue southeasterly approximately 20 miles to its terminus with an existing improved section about 1 mile east of Fenton Lake. Work will involve grading, placement of drainage structures and asphaltic surfacing for a two-lane primary highway. Adverse impacts include elimina - tion of habitat, crossing a major elk migration route with a higher speed road than now exists, and elimination of wetland habitat on some alternatives. (Region 8)
(ELR ORDER # 70776)

I-279 and East Street 06/15

Pennsylvania
County: Allegheny
The proposed action is construction of 2.1 miles of I-279, including the East Street Interchange and reconstruction of a portion of Traffic Route 28 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The new highway section would be a 4,6 and 8-lane facility with fully controlled access. The project will result in construction-related impacts and the demolishment of a youth recreation center. Other adverse effects include displacement of 255 families and 214 local businesses, and abandonment of numerous streets. (Region 3)
(ELR ORDER # 70740)

S.R. 2, Pobes Hill-N. Monroe Interchange 06/02

Washington
County: Snohomish
Proposed is the improvement of 2.3 miles of State Route 2 to a four-lane highway with fully controlled limited access, from Pobes Hill to one mile east of Monroe. The project includes plans for a rest area in each direction of travel, and interchanges at seven locations to provide access to local roads and other State highways. Clearing, excavation, and embankment operations will temporarily expose soil to erosion and subsequent sedimentation of surface waters. The acquisition of land for the highway requires displacement of 55 families and 5 farms. (Region 10)
(ELR ORDER # 70690)

S.R. 90, Hilltop Interchange & Frontage Roads 06/06

Washington
County: Grant
The proposed action consists of the construction of:
1) an interchange at location M.P. 143.86, near the point locally known as "Hilltop", and 2) approximately 8.02 miles

of two lane, north and south frontage roads. The frontage roads will begin in the vicinity of "Hilltop" and extend along and adjacent to Interstate Route 90. The north frontage road will terminate near section corner 11, 12, 14 and 13 on County road S-SW. The south frontage road will terminate near the Beverly-Burke Road Undercrossing on County road R-SW. This construction area ends approximately one mile southwest of the town of George. Adverse effects include loss of wetland, and disruption to wildlife. (ELR ORDER # 70711)

S.T.H. 89, S.T.H. 11 - Whitewater Road 06/21

Wisconsin
County: Walworth
Proposed is the reconstruction of a 12.5-mile section of rural two-lane Highway 89 in northwestern Walworth County, Wisconsin. The improved section would begin at the S.T.H. 11 and U.S.H. 14 intersection and extend northerly to the City of Whitewater. Adverse impacts include conversion of 3 acres of woodland, and relocation of 6 families. (ELR ORDER # 70768)

Final Date

Clay-Herron St. Extension, Ala. 06/06

Alabama
County: Montgomery
Proposed is the extension of Clay-Herron Street from I-65 west to U.S. 31 near Maxwell Air Force Base in West Montgomery, Alabama. The preferred alternative begins in the center of existing four-lane U.S. 31 near west Montgomery city limits and near the site where U.S. 31 changes to two lanes; then proceeds easterly generally parallel to U.S. 31 to just east of Air Base Boulevard overpass entrance into Maxwell Air Force Base. The project ends just west of Oak Street. The most significant negative impact will be the disruption and relocation of 24 families, 2 individuals, and 9 businesses. A 4(f) statement is included for West End Park. (Region 4)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD COE DOC USDA DOI HEW DOT concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70704)

Saticoy Street Extension, Los Angeles 06/07

California
County: Los Angeles
The project consists of the construction of Saticoy Street from Woodman Avenue to Van Nuys Boulevard. A grade separation is also proposed where the Saticoy Street extension crosses the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks. The main impact will be the effect of right-of-way acquisition upon the Department of Water and Power's Valley General Service

Headquarters. Right-of-way requirements will also necessitate the structural remodeling of five industrial buildings (with possible relocation of one tenant) and the removal of one single-family dwelling. Noise and air pollution for the area will increase.
(Region 9)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70715)

Connector Highway, Pensacola USN Sta. to I-10 06/09

Florida
County: Escambia
Proposed is the completion of a four-lane highway approximately 11.3 miles in length, extending from the West Gate of the Pensacola Naval Air Station northward to Interstate 10. The termini of the preferred alternative are S.R. S-292 and Pine Forest Road. Adverse impacts include displacement of 14 households and 2 businesses, and creation of some additional runoff, noise, and air pollutants. (Region 4)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HEW DOC USN
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70724)

S.R. 842 (Broward Blvd.), Ft. Lauderdale 06/15

Florida
County: Broward
Proposed is the widening of a 2.0 mile section of S.R. 842 in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. The project will widen a section from S.R. 9 (I-95) to East 8th Avenue to U.S. 1, already six lane divided. The present undivided, four laned arterial will be upgraded to six lanes with a median. Adverse impacts will result from the possible relocation of 8 families and 39 businesses.A 4(f) statement is included concerning Stranahan Park.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG COE DOC HEW DOI EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70742)

SR 828 (Normandy Drive), Miami Beach 06/24

Florida
County: Dade
The proposed project involves the improvement of a 0.6 mile segment of State Road 828 along an east-west corridor

from Rue Versailles to State Road A1A in Miami Beach. The preferred alternative would utilize existing streets east of Indian Creek to develop a one-way pair, thus utilizing the existing bridge over Indian Creek without constructing a new bridge. The project would result in the displacement of 14 businesses and 14 families, and increased noise in residential areas.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USCG USDA DOT HEW
state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 70785)

I-380, Cedar Rapids 06/06

Iowa
County: Linn
The proposed project involves the construction of a 4 to 8 lane, divided-highway segment of I-380, beginning at I-80 and ending at Freeway Route 518. The total project length is 2.5 miles. Major adverse impacts would be the displacement of 37 homes and 110 people, increased noise and air pollution, and a temporary increase in sediment load in McCloud Run due to erosion during construction. (Region 7)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD USDA DOI HEW EPA DOT
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70708)

SR 193 Relocated, Maryland 06/02

Maryland
County: Prince Georges
The proposed project is the relocation and improvement of State Route 193. Length of the project is three miles. The proposal is to initially construct a four lane divided highway utilizing 2 24-ft. roadways separated by adequate median width and 10-ft. outside shoulders and a 4-ft. inside shoulder. The selected alternative will displace 33 individuals, 9 dwellings, and 2 farms. (Region 3)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD HEW DOI EPA USDA
state and county agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70692)

Md. Route 51, Cumberland to N. Branch 06/07

Maryland
County: Allegany
The proposed action consists of relocating Maryland Route 51 from the access road to the Pittsburgh Plate Glass Plant at North Branch to 0.32 miles south of Cumberland, a distance of approximately 2.89 miles. Project plans consist of two 24-foot roadways separated by a 16-foot median strip with partial control of access. Adverse effects include acquisition of 98 acres of

varying land use types, displacement of 12 families, and increases in air and noise pollution. (Region 3)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA COE
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70716)

National Freeway, Cumberland to Hancock 06/27

Maryland
County: Allegany
This statement seeks approval for construction of the eastern portion of the proposed National Freeway, designated Section II, from Cumberland to Hancock in Allegany and Washington Counties, Maryland. (The draft EIS was filed for Sections I and II in October 1973. Separate final EISs are being filed on the two sections.) The project lies within a region between Orleans Road on the eastern side of Town Hill to Woodmont Road near Little Tonoloway Creek, Maryland, a distance of approximately 8.7 miles. Adverse impacts include the taking of wildlife management land, and the noise disruption of predominantly tranquil surroundings. (Region 3)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA DOI USDA DOT DOC COE
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70796)

US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North 06/17

Michigan
County: Mecosta Osceola
Proposed is the construction of a segment of US-131 which would begin at 19 Mile Road, north of the City of Big Rapids in Mecosta County and would terminate at LeRoy Road, north of the Village of Ashton in Osceola County, Michigan. The length of the segment is approximately 20 miles. Adverse impacts include: disruption of existing aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, displacement of 30 residences and 2 businesses, and the acquisition of between 500 and 600 acres of agricultural land.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI USDA EPA USCG DOT
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70750)

U.S. 93, Polson to Kalispell, Montana 06/06

Montana
County: Lake
The proposed action consists of the reconstruction of approximately 13.0 miles of U.S. 93 on the west side of Flathead Lake between Polson and Kalispell. The Elmo-Rollins project, which is the southernmost project, starts 2.5 miles northeast of Elmo and proceeds 5.5 miles

to just northeast of Dayton. The Flathead-County Line-South project begins at the end of the Elmo-Rollins project and proceeds northerly for 7.5 miles to 1 mile south of the Flathead-Lake County Line. Construction-related impacts are anticipated. (Region 8)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOT COE HEW
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70700)

I-390, Genesee Expressway 06/20

New York
County: Monroe
Proposed is construction of 5.1 miles of interstate Route 390 (Genesee Expressway) between the New York State Thruway (I-90) and the Rochester Outer Loop (I-590) in Henrietta and Brighton, New York. Very little displacement of residential, commercial, and industrial land uses will occur. Construction impacts are anticipated. (Region 1)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USDA HEW FPC DOT HUD
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70761)

U.S. 74, West of Hallsboro to East of Bolton 06/06

North Carolina
County: Columbus
Proposed is the improvement of the existing U.S. 74 highway in Columbus County from west of Hallsboro to east of Bolton. The improvement will be a divided four-lane facility with five-lane urbanized sections, and will extend a distance of approximately 17.0 to 18.6 miles. The project will require the taking of land for right-of-way and will displace 32 families, 4 businesses, and 2 churches. The highway location will affect, to a certain extent, the desirability of areas of Bogue Swamp and Friar Swamp as wildlife habitat. (Region 4)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOC DOI EPA FPC GSA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70712)

U.S. 74, Rutherfordton to Columbus 06/20

North Carolina
County: Polk Rutherford
Proposed is the connection of U.S. 74 near Forest City to I-26 near Columbus with a 4-lane freeway type facility. The project is one of two links remaining on U.S. 74 before a 4-lane facility between Asheville and Charlotte is completed. The alternates vary from 17.2 to 17.8 miles in length. Adverse effects include the displacement of 25 to 33 homes and one church. The conversion of 847 to

875 acres of farm and wooded land to highway use will result in the loss of wildlife habitat, premature cutting of timber, and a limited amount of soil erosion. (Region 4)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE EPA DOI HEW
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70759)

Ohio Route 315, Ackerman Rd. - I-270 06/02

Ohio
County: Franklin
The proposed action is the construction of 4.87 miles of freeway in two sections, one of 2.34 miles and another of 2.53 miles. These sections will be the final links in a freeway facility connecting the Columbus Innerbelt (I.R. 670) at the Goodale Interchange with the Outerbelt (I.R. 270); the other sections are already completed and open to traffic. The total length of the finished Olentangy Freeway from the Innerbelt to its northern terminus will be 9.93 miles. Adverse effects include the taking of 63 residences, one business, and one church, and the loss of 230 acres of wildlife habitat. (Region 5)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI EPA HEW USDA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70687)

Whipple Avenue (State Route 297), Ohio 06/09

Ohio
County: Stark
Proposed is the widening of Whipple Avenue from Pullton Drive to I-77 at Everhard Road in Canton, Ohio. The southern section of the original proposal requires further study and will necessitate a separate environmental document. The construction of the northern section will widen Whipple Avenue to a four-lane arterial with a fifth turning lane, where necessary. Few adverse effects are anticipated. (Region 5)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA HUD
state agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70723)

Detroit-Rocky River Bridge 06/14

Ohio
County: Cuyahoga
The purpose of the project is to replace the 708 foot long Detroit-Rocky River Bridge spanning the Rocky River between the cities of Lakewood and Rocky River. The statement includes alternatives of replacing the bridge on its present site or relocating it on one of three new align-

ments, and the involvement of Cleveland Metropolitan Park District's Rock River Reservation which borders on the right-of-way. The selected alternative represents a skew bridge alignment extending southerly from the existing eastern bridge approach to approximately 200 feet south of the existing western bridge terminus. (Region 5)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI EPA AHP USCG
state and local government
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70737)

U.S. 287 and U.S. 84, Anderson Co. 06/24

Texas
County: Anderson
Proposed are improvements on two numbered highway routes within the City of Palestine, Texas. The U.S. 287 portion begins at the intersection of present U.S. 287 with U.S. 79 then proceeds 0.38 mile in a southeasterly direction on proposed new location to intersect with U.S. 84 (Oak St.), approximately midway between Cottage and Debard Streets. The U.S. 84 portion of the route begins at this point and proceeds in an easterly direction to the end of the project at Branberry Street, a distance of 0.68 mile. The relocation of some families and businesses will be required. (Region 6)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT HEW COE USDA DOI EPA
state and local agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70790)

Supplement Date

S.R. 45 (U.S. 41) (S-1) 06/14

This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in November 1972. Proposed is the construction of a 4.7 mile segment of four lane divided S.R. 45 (U.S. 41) beginning at mile post 5.6 in Lee County and terminating at the existing four-lane section north of Estero. Because of the adverse effects of the recommended alternate in the FEIS on the Koreshan Unity Settlement Historic District, the approved corridor through Estero was rejected by the State Historic Preservation office. This supplemental EIS explores in further detail the remaining alternates. (Region 4)
(ELR ORDER # 70738)

I-40 & Mountain Rd. Interchange (S-2) 06/06

New Mexico
County: Quay
Proposed is the construction of a diamond interchange at the intersection of Mountain Road and Interstate 40 in Tucumcari, Quay County, New Mexico. The City of Tucumcari

and Quay County will construct the Mountain Road connection from U.S. 66 to the Interchange. Approximately 155 acres of irrigated land and 5 acres of dry land will be acquired for the proposed interchange. (Region 6)
(ELR ORDER # 70697)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Draft — Date

Metrobus Garage Facility — 06/16

Maryland
County: Montgomery
Proposed is the granting of federal capital grant assistance to the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority for a Metrobus Garage and Maintenance Facility for 250 buses in Montgomery County, Maryland. The garage is proposed for suburban bus service particularly in conjunction with the future complementary operation of Metrobus-Metrorail. The EIS reviews 3 alternative sites for the new facility. Adverse impacts include the relocation of businesses and/or clearing of woodlands.
(ELR ORDER # 70745)

U.S.Coast Guard

Supplement — Date

Prince Wm. Sound Vessel Traffic Service (S-1) — 06/24

This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in February 1975, on the Prince William Sound Vessel Traffic Service. The supplement reflects a variety of changes made as a result of reevaluating the original environmental statement and in response to written comments and comments made at the public hearing by other agencies and the public.
(ELR ORDER # 70788)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Contact: (For Housing Programs)
Mr. Lyman T. Miller
Assistant Director for Construction and Valuation
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2691
(Medicine and Surgery)
Mr. Jon E. Baer
Chief, Environmental Planning Division
Veterans Administration
Washington, D.C.
(202)389-3316

Draft	Date
V.A. Hospital, Wash., D.C.	06/23

District of Co
Proposed is the construction of a 120-bed Nursing Home Care Unit (NHCU), Clinical Facilities, and an underground Parking Garage at the Washington, D.C. Veterans Administration Hospital. The NHCU will consist of two 60-bed wings on one level, Clinical Facilities on one level and a basement level. Construction related impacts are anticipated.
(ELR ORDER # 70778)

D.C. Area National Cemetery	06/13

Virginia
Proposed is the development of a Washington, D.C. Area National Cemetery in Quantico, Virginia. The 726.58-acre site is located on land excessed by the Department of Navy, Quantico Marine Corps Base. The cemetery will accomodate 300,000 veterans and their dependents with a variety of interment types. Adverse impacts include 50% less of forest condition, excessive grading, soil erosion, and disruption of a Wildlife Management area.
(ELR ORDER # 70732)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through

06/30/77 (By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions	Supplements	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Agriculture, Department of	185	820	9	1014
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	0	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	0	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	0	1
Civil Aeronautics Board	0	2	0	2
Commerce, Department of	24	75	3	102
Consumer Product Safety Commission	0	1	0	1
Defense, Department of	10	8	1	19
Air Force	11	27	2	40
Army	4	29	2	35
Army Corps of Engineers	555	1140	36	1731
Navy	16	43	2	61
Deleware River Basin Commission	2	8	0	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	0	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	9	9	0	18
Environmental Protection Agency	49	114	2	165
Federal Energy Administration	11	9	2	22
Federal Maritime Commission	3	0	0	3
Federal Power Commission	81	68	5	154
Federal Trade Commission	1	0	0	1
General Services Administration	18	109	3	130
HEW, Department of	3	18	0	21
HUD, Department of	86	275	6	367
Interior, Department of	152	402	6	560
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	0	10	0	10
Interstate Commerce Commission	9	15	0	24
Justice, Department of	2	3	0	5
Labor, Department of	6	5	0	11
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	11	25	3	39
National Capitol Planning Comm.	5	8	0	13
National Science Foundation	0	7	0	7
New England River Basins Commission	3	0	0	3
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	8	45	10	63
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	0	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	0	1	0	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	0	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	0	1
State Department	3	6	0	9
Tennessee Valley Authority	9	29	0	38
Transportation, Department of	1017	2328	27	3372
Treasury, Department of	4	7	0	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	4	0	7
U.S. Water Resources Council	7	9	0	16
Veterans Administration	6	9	0	15
TOTALS	2361	5817	119	8297

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of May 1, 1977 and May 31, 1977.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by the EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV, and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Rebecca W. Hanmer

Rebecca W. Hanmer
Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: 28 JUN 1977

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

APRIL 1, 1977 AND APRIL 30, 1977

IDENTIFY NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
D-COE-B35006-CT:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, MIANUS RIVER, GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT	EU-2	B
D-COE-B35007-ME:	PORTLAND HARBOR MAINTENANCE DREDGING PROJECT, FORE RIVER, PORTLAND, MAINE	EU-3	B
D-COE-C39003-PR:	PUERTO RICO AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL, PUERTO RICO	LO-2	C
D-COE-D32006-MD:	ROCK HALL HARBOR, SMALL NAVIGATION PROJECT, KENT COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
D-COE-E32021-FL:	BOOT KEY HARBOR, NAVIGATION, MARATHON, FLORIDA	ER-2	E
D-COE-F06006-WI:	PLEASANT PRAIRIE POWER PLANT, WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, KENOSHA COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F32048-MI:	SAULT STE MARIE, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, FEDERAL NAVIGATION AND POWER GENERATION FACILITIES, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F32050-MI:	GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36048-IL:	KASKASKIA ISLAND DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, RANDOLPH COUNTY, ILLINOIS	ER-2	F
D-COE-G35003-LA:	DEVELOPMENT OF PORT FACILITIES, TERREBONNE PORT COMMISSION, HOUMA, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
DS-COE-G36025-OK:	PARKER LAKE, MUDDY BOGGY CREEK, COAL COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	ER-2	G
DS-COE-H36030-IA:	WATERLOO, LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, IOWA	ER-2	H
D-COE-K30002-HI:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL, KUALOA REGIONAL PARK, OAHU, HONOLULU COUNTY, HAWAII	LO-1	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-K36020-CA:	REDBANK AND FANCHER CREEKS FLOOD CONTROL, FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	3	J
D-COE-L36051-WA:	OKANOGAN RIVER URBAN LEVEE PROJECT, OMAK, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
D-AFS-G65022-NM:	WESTERN SPRUCE BUDWORM SUPPRESSION AND EVALUATION, SANTA FE NATIONAL FOREST, SANDOVAL AND RIO ARRIBA COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO	ER-1	G
D-AFS-G65023-NM:	RED RIVER SKI AREA, CARSON NATIONAL FOREST, TAOS COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
D-AFS-J65060-WY:	UNION PASS PLANNING UNIT, BRIDGER-TETON NATIONAL FOREST, SUBLETTE AND FREMONT COUNTIES, WYOMING	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65063-MT:	PLACID BLANCHARD PLANNING UNIT, LOLO NATIONAL FOREST, MISSOULA COUNTY, MONTANA	ER-1	I
D-AFS-K61015-CA:	SIERRA SKI RANCH EXPANSION, ELDORADO NATIONAL FOREST, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-AFS-L61087-ID:	SOUTH FORK SALMON RIVER PLANNING UNIT, BOISE AND PAYETTE NATIONAL FORESTS, VALLEY COUNTY, IDAHO (USDA-FS-R4-DES-ADM-R4-77-2)	ER-1	K
D-AFS-L64003-AK:	BURNETT NON-PROFIT FISH HATCHERY, STIKINE AREA, TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST, ALASKA (FS-R10-DES-ADM-76-06)	LO-1	K
DS-AFS-L65018-AK:	HERBICIDE USE, NATIONAL FORESTS OF ALASKA, CALENDAR YEAR 1976, ALASKA	LO-2	K
D-AFS-L65027-OR:	REVISED TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, OCHOCO NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON (USDA-FS-R6-DES(ADM)-77-7)	LO-2	K
DS-AFS-L82001-00:	1977 ADDENDUM TO COOPERATIVE WESTERN SPRUCE BUDWORM PEST MANAGEMENT PLAN, WASHINGTON AND OREGON (USDA-FS-R6-DES-ADM-76-7)	LO-2	K
D-REA-E07003-GA:	ROBERT W. SCHERER PLANT AND RELATED 500 KV TRANSMISSION LINES, OGLETHORPE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION, APPLICATION, MONROE COUNTY, GEORGIA (FORMERLY CENTRAL GEORGIA PROJECT)	ER-2	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-SCS-E36043-AL:	DYNNE CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION, FLOOD PREVENTION, MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY AND RECREATION, CLEBURNE COUNTY, ALABAMA	LO-1	E
D-SCS-F36048-OH:	LITTLE AUGLAIZE RIVER WATERSHED, MERCER, PUTNAM, PAULDING AND VAN WERT COUNTIES, OHIO	ER-2	F
D-SCS-J36008-CO:	CANON WATERSHED PROJECT, FREMONT COUNTY, COLORADO	LO-2	I
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
D-USN-K10001-CA:	READY MISSILE TEST FACILITY, PACIFIC MISSILE TEST CENTER, POINT MUGU, VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-2	J
D-USN-L02002-AK:	CONTINUING EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION OF NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVE NO. 4, ALASKA	LO-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
D-BLM-A02112-00:	PROPOSED 1977 OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 43 (OCS), SOUTH ATLANTIC	ER-2	A
D-BPA-L08024-WA:	BPA ELECTRICAL SERVICE TO THE NORTHWEST ALLOY MAGNESIUM PLANT, ADDY, WASHINGTON (DES-77-7)	ER-2	K
D-IRR-L36052-WA:	ESQUATZEL COULEE WASTEWAY, COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT, FRANKLIN COUNTY, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
DA-FHW-A40434-IA:	US 30, BENTON AND LINN COUNTIES, IOWA	ER-2	H
DA-FHW-A41284-KS:	US 169, NEOSHO AND ALLEN COUNTIES, KANSAS (FHWA-KS-EIS-72-11-DS-2)	LO-1	H
D-FHW-B40021-CT:	I-84 AND I-86, EAST HARTFORD TO MANCHESTER, HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT (FHWA-CT-77-01-D)	3	B
D-FHW-C40030-NY:	NY-9, OSSINING CEDAR PLACE TO BEACH ROAD, WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK	LO-1	C
D-FHW-K40048-NV:	MCCARRAN BOULEVARD, NORTHWEST QUADRANT AND NORTH-SOUTH FREEWAY TO PYRAMID WAY, NEVADA	LO-2	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DS-FHW-D40033-MD:	AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, ARUNDEL EXPRESSWAY, ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
DS-FHW-D40040-MD:	AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, MD-2 AND MD-4 EXTENDED, ST. MARY'S COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
D-FHW-D40044-PA:	LR 1013, LACKAWANNA VALLEY EXPRESSWAY AND THE CARBONDALE BYPASS, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	ER-2	D
D-FHW-E40098-GA:	I-675, I-75 TO I-285, CLAYTON, HENRY AND DEKALB COUNTIES, GEORGIA (FHWA-GA-EIS-77-02-D)	ER-2	E
D-FHW-E40099-NC:	NC-24 AND NC-27, ALBEMARLE ROAD, NC-3128 TO NC-51, MECKLENBURG COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (FHWA-GA-EIS-77-01-D)	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40100-GA:	CANTON ROAD, RELOCATION, I-75, TO MARIETTA, COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA (FHWA-GA-EIS-76-05-D)	ER-2	E
D-FHW-E40101-MS:	BAILEY AVENUE TO ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD OVERPASS, HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI (FHWA-MS-EIS-77-01-D)	LO-2	E
D-FHW-H40102-NC:	EXTENSION OF DAWSON AND MCDOWELL STREETS, RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA (FHWA-NC-EIS-77-05-D)	LO-2	E
D-FHW-H40067-IA:	US 30, IA-330, MARSHALL AND TAMA COUNTIES, IOWA (FHWA-IOWA-EIS-77-01-D)	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40068-NB:	BENEKELMAN EAST, FAS 1270, DUNDY COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-K40050-CA:	I-980, CORRIDOR DEVELOPMENT, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA	3	J
D-FHW-K40051-HI:	KAUAI BELT ROAD, KALIHIWAI TO HANA, KAUAI, HAWAII	LO-1	J
D-UMT-B54002-MA:	ORANGE LINE RELOCATION AND ARTERIAL STREET CONSTRUCTION, SOUTH COVE TO FOREST HILLS, BOSTON, SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	LO-2	B

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
ENERGY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION			
D-ERD-A00126-SC:	WASTE MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS AT SAVANNAH RIVER PLANT, AIKEN, SOUTH CAROLINA (ERDA-1537)	LO-2	A
D-ERD-A00127-TN:	MANAGEMENT OF INTERMEDIATE LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE, OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ORNL), OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE (ERDA-1553D)	ER-2	A
D-ERD-A00128-ID:	SAFETY RESEARCH EXPERIMENT FACILITIES (SAREF), IDAHO NATIONAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY (INEL), IDAHO (ERDA-1552D)	LO-2	A
D-ERD-A00130-OH:	PORTSMOUTH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT SITE, PIKETON, PIKE COUNTY, OHIO (ERDA-1555D)	ER-2	A
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION			
DS-FEA-A07086-00:	ENERGY SUPPLY AND ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION ACT SECTION 2, COAL CONVERSION PROGRAM (DES-77-3)	ER-2	A
D-FEA-E03002-KY:	CENTRAL ROCK MINE, STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE, LEXINGTON, FAYETTE COUNTY, KENTUCKY	ER-2	E
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
D-GSA-J60001-CO:	GSA LEASING PROGRAM, CUMULATIVE EFFECT, WEST DENVER METRO AREA, COLORADO	ER-2	I
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-C85014-PR:	VERDEMAR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, HUMACAO, PUERTO RICO	ER-2	C
D-HUD-D85011-PA:	WEDGEWOOD ESTATES SUBDIVISION, PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
D-HUD-D85012-PA:	INDUSTRIAL PARK URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT, WILKES BARRE, PENNSYLVANIA	ER-2	D
D-HUD-E85022-SC:	FORESTBROOK SUBDIVISION, MYRTLE BEACH AREA, HORRY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA (R04-EIS-77-01-D)	ER-2	E
D-HUD-F85017-MN:	SHEPARD PARK WEST, ST. PAUL, RAMSEY COUNTY, MINNESOTA	ER-2	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-HUD-F85018-IL:	INDIAN OAKS SUBDIVISION, WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS	ER-2	F
D-HUD-G85023-TX:	WILLIAMSBURG SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85025-TX:	ATASCOCITA FOREST SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85026-TX:	WOODLAND TRAILS, WEST SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85027-TX:	PROPOSED CIMMARRON SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85028-TX:	HUNTERS GLEN SUBDIVISION, FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85029-TX:	THE COLONIES, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85030-TX:	COLONY PARK SUBDIVISION, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85032-TX:	PEBBLE HILLS ADDITION, EL PASO COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85033-TX:	GOLF RESORT JOINT VENTURE, EL PASO COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-J24000-MT:	EASTSIDE NUMBER 2 SANITARY SEWER OUTFALL MAIN, HELENA, MONTANA	LO-2	I
D-HUD-J85010-CO:	SUNSTREAM AND SILO PLANNED DEVELOPMENTS, JEFFERSON COUNTY, COLORADO	ER-2	I
D-HUD-K85007-CA:	THE VILLAGE, TITLE X, CHICO, CALIFORNIA	LO-2	J
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			
RD-OSH-A99137-00:	PROPOSED STANDARD FOR OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE TO LEAD, INORGANIC LEAD (40 FR 45934)	LO-1	A
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE			
D-UPS-C81002-NY:	GENERAL MAIL FACILITY, ROCHESTER, NEW YORK	LO-1	C

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION			
F-ICC-F53002-WI:	CHICAGO AND NORTH WESTERN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY ABANDONMENT, SAWYER, ASHLAND AND BAYFIELD COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA INDICATED THE ABANDONMENT WOULD HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT UPON THE NATION'S ENERGY RESOURCES.	F
F-ICC-F53004-00:	CHICAGO, SOUTH SHORE, SOUTH BEND, RAILROAD DISCONTINUANCE OF ALL PASSENGER TRAIN SERVICE, INDIANA AND ILLINOIS	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. THE ICC HAS DENIED THE REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE ABANDONMENT OF SERVICE BY REQUIRING OPERATIONS TO CONTINUE FOR A PERIOD OF 10 MONTHS. EPA OPPOSES ABANDONMENT BECAUSE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION IN CHICAGO AND NORTHERN INDIANA IS AN ESSENTIAL TO ACHIEVE COMPLIANCE WITH AIR QUALITY STANDARDS. EPA HOPES THAT INDIANA WILL UTILIZE THIS 10 MONTH PERIOD TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO MATCH AVAILABLE FEDERAL GRANTS WHICH WOULD PERMIT COMMUTER OPERATIONS TO CONTINUE.	F
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			
RF-LAB-A27001-00:	STANDARD FOR OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE TO INORGANIC ARSENIC	EPA'S CONCERN WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	A
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE			
F-UPS-C99002-NY:	MANHATTAN VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY AND CHELSEA MORGAN HOUSING, NEW YORK	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	C

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
APRIL 1, 1977 AND APRIL 30, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE FOR REVIEW
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
F-COE-A32471-MS:	PASCAGOULA RIVER, MAINTENANCE, ESCATAWPA RIVER, GEORGE AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI	E
F-COE-D07003-DE:	PERMIT, DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, INDIAN RIVER POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 4, SUSSEX COUNTY, DELAWARE	D
F-COE-G34004-OK:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM, OOLAHAG LAKE, VERDIGRIS RIVER, HULAH LAKE, CANEY RIVER, REYBURN LAKE, POLECAT CREEK, OKLAHOMA	G
F-COE-G34030-LA:	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, COON ISLAND, DEVIL'S ELBOW, CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, LOUISIANA	G
F-COE-G36045-TX:	LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT, THREE RIVERS, LIVE OAK COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-COE-H34005-KS:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ELK CITY, FALL RIVER AND TORONTO LAKES, KANSAS	H
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-A61163-MT:	FLATHEAD, WILD AND SCENIC RIVER PROPOSAL, MONTANA	I
F-AFS-G65017-AR:	SOUTH FOURCHE UNIT, QUACHITA NATIONAL FOREST, SALINE COUNTY, ARKANSAS	G
F-AFS-L61068-OR:	SIUSLAW NATIONAL FOREST, MARYS PEAK PLANNING UNIT, BENTON AND POLK COUNTIES, OREGON	K
F-AFS-L61077-OR:	LAND USE PLAN, BUTTE PLANNING UNIT, OREGON (USDA-FS-R6-FES-(ADM)-76-12)	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-AFS-L65021-WA:	WENATCHEE NATIONAL FOREST, OFF-ROAD VEHICLE USE, WASHINGTON	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		
FS-USA-J20003-UT:	DISPOSAL OF TOXIC RESIDUE, WEST GRANITE DISPOSAL AREA, DUGWAY PROVING GROUND, UTAH	I
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR		
F-BOR-H61001-IA:	DES MOINES RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, POLK COUNTY, IOWA	H
F-IBR-G32021-NM:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, RIO GRANDE-VELARDE TO CABALLO DAM, NEW MEXICO	G
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		
F-FAA-L51006-AK:	ANCHORAGE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, NORTH SOUTH RUNWAY, ANCHORAGE, ALASKA	K
F-FHW-E40103-AL:	I-20, I-459 AND I-20 INTERCHANGE TO US 78, JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA	E
F-FHW-H40038-NB:	CENTER STREET AND MERCY ROAD INTERSECTION, OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	H
F-FHW-L40031-ID:	US 95, COX'S RANCH TO GOFF BRIDGE, IDAHO (FHWA-ID-EIS-76-01-F)	K
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
F-HUD-E28020-AL:	LOWNDES COUNTY RURAL WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS, LOWNDES COUNTY, ALABAMA (CDBG)	E
F-HUD-G24002-AR:	SOUTHEAST SANITARY SEWER, PINE BLUFF, JEFFERSON COUNTY, ARKANSAS	G
F-HUD-G85018-TX:	TRAILWOOD SUBDIVISION, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-HUD-G85021-TX:	PINE TRAILS SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	G

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN APRIL 1, 1977 AND APRIL 30, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
R-COE-A86103-00:	33 CFR PARTS 307, 308, 309, 310, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS, PROPOSED POLICIES AND PROCEDURES, ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS (42 FR 10782)	EPA FOUND THAT THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS GENERALLY MEET THEIR OBJECTIVE OF SUPPORTING ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ASPECTS OF CORPS PLANNING PROCEDURES. EPA DID OFFER A NUMBER OF SUGGESTIONS WHICH IT BELIEVED WOULD STRENGTHEN SPECIFIC SECTIONS OF THE REGULATION.	A
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
R-BLM-A01044-00:	43 CFR PART 3800, SURFACE MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC LAND UNDER US MINING LAWS, PROPOSED PROCEDURES TO MINIMIZE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	EPA EXPRESSED GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH THE INTENT OF THE PROPOSED RULES. COMMENTS WERE OFFERED REGARDING POSSIBLE MODIFICATIONS TO THE REGULATIONS WHICH WOULD ALLOW MORE TIME FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF PROPOSED MINING OPERATIONS. IN ADDITION, COMMENTS WERE PROVIDED REGARDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CRITERIA FOR PLAN MODIFICATION, BOND REQUIREMENTS, AND AIR AND WATER QUALITY CONSIDERATIONS.	A
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
R-CGD-A52111-00:	33 CFR PART 161, VESSEL TRAFFIC SYSTEMS, PROPOSED PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND VESSEL TRAFFIC SERVICE (CGD-76-032) (42 FR 7164)	EPA HAS REVIEWED THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS AND HAS NO COMMENTS AT THIS TIME.	A
R-CGD-A52112-00:	33 CFR PARTS 160 AND 165, SAFETY ZONES, PROPOSED AUTHORIZATION (CGD-75-205)	EPA SUGGESTED THE USCG ESTABLISH A STANDARD MARKING SYSTEM TO IDENTIFY THE SAFETY ZONES.	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
R-AFS-A65129-00:	36 CFR CHAPTER I, SALE AND DISPOSAL OF TIMBER	EPA EXPRESSED GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH THE PROPOSED RULE. EPA OFFERED A NUMBER OF SUGGESTIONS TO STRENGTHEN SPECIFIC SECTIONS OF THE REGULATIONS.	A

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the iraft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
APRIL 1, 1977 AND APRIL 30, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
FS-COE-A34128-00:	PUMPED STORAGE, RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GEORGIA AND SOUTH CAROLINA	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE SUPPLEMENT TO THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THAT THERE IS INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION ON PUMPED STORAGE FOR THE RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE TO FULLY EVALUATE THE BIOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED OPERATION. OF SPECIFIC CONCERN TO EPA IS THE LACK OF SPECIFIC DESIGN DETAILS SUCH AS WHAT WILL BE THE WATER VELOCITY AT THE INTAKE, THE ANTICIPATED AREA OF FLOW REVERSAL, AND THE VELOCITIES WITHIN THE AREA OF FLOW REVERSAL DURING THE PUMP-BACK OPERATION? ADDITIONAL DATA ARE NEEDED REGARDING THE EFFECTS OF THE OPERATION ON THE WHITE BASS POPULATION IN CLARK HILL RESERVOIR AND ENTRAINMENT IMPACTS.	E
FS-COE-A36350-MO:	STOCKTON LAKE, SAC RIVER, DOWNSTREAM FLOODING, CEDAR COUNTY, MISSOURI	EPA EXPRESSED SEVERE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT. SPECIFIC CONCERNS INCLUDE ADVERSE WATER QUALITY IMPACTS DUE TO INCREASED VELOCITY AND DISCHARGE OF THE SAC RIVER; THE DEGRADATION OF WETLANDS DUE TO BYPASSING A PORTION OF THE SAC RIVER AT HORSESHOE BEND; LOSS OF RIPARIAN HABITAT DUE TO BANK EROSION; AND THE PROBABLE LOSS OF THE SAC RIVER FISHERY.	H
FS-COE-D35002-PA:	DIKED DISPOSAL SITE NO. 2, ERIE HARBOR, ERIE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE SUPPLEMENT TO THE FINAL EIS.	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-E35008-SC:	WANDO AND COOPER RIVERS, PERMIT, DREDGE, FILL AND CONSTRUCTION OF A MARINE TERMINAL CHARLESTON COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	EPA HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED IS ENVIRONMENTAL UNSATISFACTORY. MAJOR CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY EPA ARE THE CUMULATIVE ADVERSE IMPACTS FROM RUNOFF; OIL SPILLS AND INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT UPON THE WATER QUALITY OF THE WANDO RIVER; THE DISTRUCTION AND CONTAMINATION OF MARSHLANDS; DAMAGE TO MARINE LIFE; SECONDARY DEVELOPMENT INDUCED BY THE TERMINAL; AND EARLY EXHAUSTION OF DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSED SITES. IN ADDITION, POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE SITES HAVE NOT BEEN ANALYZED SUFFICIENTLY. THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 309(B) OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT, EPA HAS REFERRED THIS PROPOSED PROJECT TO THE COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AS UNSATISFACTORY TO PUBLIC HEALTH, WELFARE AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY.	E
F-COE-E35028-FL:	CROSS FLORIDA BARGE CANAL, RESTUDY REPORT, FROM ST. JOHNS RIVER AT PALATKA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO AT YANKEETOWN, FLORIDA	EPA RECOGNIZES THAT THE CHIEF OF THE US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS HAS RECOMMENDED THE TERMINATION OF ALL ACTIVITIES LEADING TOWARD COMPLETION OF THE CROSS FLORIDA BARGE CANAL. HOWEVER, EPA'S COMMENTS WERE FORWARDED FOR THE RECORD. OF GREAT CONCERN TO EPA IS THE POTENTIAL INTRODUCTION OF CONTAMINATED WATER INTO THE FLORIDAN AQUIFER WHICH IS USED AS A POTABLE WATER SUPPLY AND IS A POTENTIAL MAJOR WATER SOURCE FOR THE FUTURE. ALSO EPA IS CONCERNED ABOUT THE IMPACTS ON WATER QUALITY FROM HERBICIDES AND PESTICIDE USE AND NUTRIENT AND SULFIDE ENRICHMENT. FURTHER, EPA IS CONCERNED WITH LOSS AND DEGRADATION OF THE UNIQUE OKLAWAHA RIVER SYSTEM, DEGRADED SURFACE WATER QUALITY IN BOTH THE OKLAWAHA AND WITHLACOOCHEE RIVERS, AND LOSS OF BOTTOMLANDS VALUABLE FOR TIMBER, WILDLIFE AND AGRICULTURE PRODUCTION. EPA CONCURS WITH THE DECISION OF THE CHIEF OF ENGINEERS TO TERMINATE THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	E
F-COE-E36039-00:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVIGATION PROJECT, SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GEORGIA AND SOUTH CAROLINA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE EIS. HOWEVER, EPA DOES NOT AGREE TO THE BLOCKING OF THE OLD RIVER BENDS WITH SPOIL AT THE CUTOFFS. THIS SPOIL DISPOSAL SHOULD BE COORDINATED WITH THE CONSERVATION AGENCIES AS PREVIOUSLY SUGGESTED BY EPA.	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-F05001-MI:	PRESQUE ISLE GENERATING STATION, UNITS 7, 8, 9, MARQUETTE COUNTY, MICHIGAN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
F-COE-F35013-WI:	GREEN BAY HARBOR, MAINTENANCE, DREDGING, AND CONTAINED DISPOSAL OF DREDGE MATERIALS, WISCONSIN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. EPA RECOMMENDED THE SUPERNATENT MONITORING LIMITS WHICH WOULD CAUSE CLOSURE OF THE CDF SHOULD BE PROVIDED. EPA ALSO RECOMMENDED FUTURE BOTTOM SEDIMENT ANALYSIS SHOULD INCLUDE PESTICIDES AND PCB'S.	F
F-COE-H34006-KS:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF JOHN REDMOND, MARION, AND COUNCIL GROVE LAKES, KANSAS	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE CORPS WAS UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS PREPARED ON THE DRAFT EIS, THEREFORE EPA HAS REQUESTED THAT THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS PREPARE A SUPPLEMENTAL EIS. SPECIFICALLY, EPA REQUESTED THAT DATA ON THE WATER QUALITY OF THE RESERVOIRS, ALLOCATION OF WATER SUPPLY STORAGE, AND OPERATION OF THE SYSTEM RESERVOIRS IN THE NEOSHO RIVER BASIN BE INCLUDED IN THE FINAL EIS. THE RESPONSES TO THESE COMMENTS WERE INADEQUATE, THEREBY LEADING TO EPA'S RATING OF THE FINAL EIS AS UNRESPONSIVE.	H
F-COE-K80003-CA:	REDWOOD SHORES REGIONAL SHOPPING CENTER, PERMIT, REDWOOD CITY, SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
F-AFS-J65042-SD:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, BLACK HILLS NATIONAL FOREST, SOUTH DAKOTA (USDA-FS-R2-FES-ADM-76-08)	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	I
FS-REA-A08012-00:	UNDERWOOD GENERATION STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, RELATED TRANSMISSION LINES, NORTH DAKOTA AND MINNESOTA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	I
F-SCS-F36004-IL:	LITTLE CACHE CREEK WATERSHED, JOHNSON COUNTY, ILLINOIS	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE COPT COMMENT
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
F-NOA-L64001-OR:	STATE OF OREGON COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	GENERALLY, EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA'S PRIMARY CONCERN WAS THAT NEITHER THE EIS OR THE DRAFT COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DESCRIBED THE MECHANISMS BY WHICH THE COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM WOULD BE COORDINATED WITH EPA SPONSORED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PROGRAMS OR THE MECHANISMS WHICH WOULD BE USED TO IMPLEMENT THE FEDERAL CONSISTENCY REQUIREMENTS OF THE COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT. EPA FOUND THAT THE FINAL COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DEALT ADEQUATELY WITH THE FIRST OF THESE INFORMATION GAPS. HOWEVER, EPA ALSO FOUND THAT FEDERAL CONSISTENCY PROCEDURES ARE NOT YET DEVELOPED AND THEREFORE RECOMMENDED OCZM PROVIDE FOR FORMAL FEDERAL AGENCY CONCURRENCE IN OREGON'S PROCEDURES PRIOR TO THEIR IMPLEMENTATION.	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
F-UAF-F11004-MI:	CLOSURE, KINCHELOE AIR FORCE BASE, MICHIGAN	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE USAF WAS UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. EPA HAS REQUESTED THE USAF PROVIDES ADEQUATE INFORMATION ON WATER QUALITY AND NOISE FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	F
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
F-BLM-J07004-UT:	EMERY POWER PLANT, UTAH	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THAT FUTURE POWER PLANT IMPACT STATEMENTS CONTAIN INDEPENDENT MARKET DEMAND STUDIES AND GIVE FURTHER CONSIDERATION TO THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES OF CONSERVATION AND THE USE OF NONDEPLETABLE SOURCES OF ENERGY.	I
F-IBR-J34006-CO:	FRUITLAND MESA PROJECT, DELTA, MONTROSE AND GUNNISON COUNTIES, COLORADO	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	I

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE F COPIES C COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
F-DOT-A41560-CO:	US 287 TO CO-14, FORT COLLINS EXPRESSWAY, LARIMER COUNTY, COLORADO	EPA EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT PLANNING FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT WAS BEING DONE IN THE ABSENCE OF A COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN FOR THE HIGHWAY CORRIDOR AREA AND A REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN.	I
F-DOT-A41655-MN:	MN-23, JUNCTION MN-24 AND MN-212, LYON, YELLOW MEDICINE, RENVILLE, CHIPPEWA COUNTIES, MINNESOTA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
NF-FAA-D51005-VA:	MECKLENBURG-BRUNSWICK REGIONAL AIRPORT, SOUTH HILL, VIRGINIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION.	D
F-FHW-D54023-MD:	RELOCATED US 140, NORTHWEST EXPRESSWAY, PHASE I, RAPID TRANSIT, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. EPA REQUESTED A CONTINUING LIAISON THROUGH ONGOING DEVELOPMENT TO INSURE PROPER PHASING OF HIGHWAY AND TRANSIT ELEMENTS OF THE FACILITY.	D
F-FHW-E40031-KY:	I-471, CINCINNATI I-275 CONNECTOR, CAMPBELL COUNTY, KENTUCKY (FHWA-KY-EIS-75-05-F)	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED THE FHWA REEVALUATE THEIR POSITION ON ABATEMENT IF THE IMPACT AND PUBLIC REACTION WARRANT IT.	E
F-FHW-E40071-NC:	INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD CORRIDOR, INNER LOOP FROM I-77 TO NORTHWEST FREEWAY, CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA (FHWA-NC-EIS-76-02-F)	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. SPECIFICALLY, THE FINDINGS OF THE EIS ARE NOT CONSISTENT WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF ATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF THE NAAQS FOR PHOTOCHEMICAL OXIDANTS. TO BE ENVIRONMENTALLY ACCEPTABLE FOR AIR QUALITY, THE PROJECT SHOULD NOT INCREASE THE TOTAL BURDEN ON HYDROCARBON EMISSIONS IN THE METROPOLITAN AREA.	E
F-FHW-F40049-IL:	I-255, JEFFERSON BARRACKS BRIDGE, MONROE COUNTY, ILLINOIS	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-FHW-F40058-MN:	I-94, I-494 AND I-694 INTERCHANGE, WASHINGTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. EPA HAS RECOMMENDED THE PROPOSED ROUTE BE USED. HOWEVER, THE NOISE IMPACTS UPON TWO SITES WERE GREAT ENOUGH TO WARRANT EPA'S RECOMMENDATION FOR REMOVAL.	F
F-FHW-F40070-MN:	US 2 BYPASS OF BEMIDJI, BELTRAMI COUNTY, MINNESOTA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
F-FHW-J40016-MT:	ELMO-ROLLINS AND FLATHEAD COUNTY LINE SOUTH, US 93 WEST SIDE OF FLATHEAD LAKE BETWEEN POLSON AND KALISPELL, MONTANA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	I
F-FHW-K40045-NV:	FLAMINGO ROAD, I-15 TO US 93 AND US 95, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
F-FHW-K40046-NV:	US 395 CORRIDOR, SOUTH VIRGINIA STREET TO PANTHER VALLEY, WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-C85013-PR:	MONTE BRISAS DEVELOPMENT, FAJARDO, PUERTO RICO	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS CONCERNING THE PROPOSED PROJECT. SPECIFICALLY, THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON WATER SUPPLIES AND THE FAILURE OF THE FINAL EIS TO DISCUSS WATER CONSERVATION MEASURES PREVIOUSLY RECOMMENDED.	C
FS-HUD-K60006-CA:	WEST END II, REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT, PROPERTY ACQUISITION DEMOLITION, BUSINESS RESIDENTIAL RELOCATION AND PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE SUPPLEMENT TO THE FINAL EIS.	J

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the iraft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit (PM-213)
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region 1
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region 2
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region 3
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region 4
Environmental Protection Agency
345 Courtland Street NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region 5
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region 6
Environmental Protection Agency
1201 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75270

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region 7
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region 8
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region 9
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region 10
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
MAY 1, 1977 AND MAY 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
DS-COE-D32005-MD:	CAMBRIDGE HARBOR CHANNEL AND TURNING BASIN, DORCHESTER COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-1	D
DS-COE-E36025-KY:	BEARGRASS CREEK BASIN, LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KENTUCKY	LO-1	E
D-COE-F35016-MI:	BEADLE BAY DEVELOPMENT, SAGINAW BAY, HURON COUNTY, MICHIGAN	ER-2	F
DS-COE-G32018-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, CORPUS CHRISTI CHANNEL, TEXAS	LO-1	G
DS-COE-L36038-WA:	GRAYS HARBOR WIDENING AND DEEPENING, CHEHALIS AND HOQUIAM RIVER, CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS FOR NAVIGATION, WASHINGTON	ER-2	B
DS-COE-L36039-ID:	LITTLE WOOD RIVER FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, GOODING, SHOSHONE AND LINCOLN COUNTIES, IDAHO	LO-1	K
DS-COE-L36048-WA:	DRY CREEK FLOOD CONTROL, EPHRATA AND SOAP LAKES, GRANT COUNTY, WASHINGTON	ER-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
D-AFS-E01001-KY:	MINERAL PROSPECTING, BEAVER CREEK WILDERNESS, DANIEL BOONE NATIONAL FOREST, MCCREARY COUNTY, KENTUCKY (USDA-FS-R8-DES-ADM-77-03)	ER-1	E
D-AFS-E65016-MS:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, HOLLY SPRINGS AND TOMBIGBEE NATIONAL FOREST, BENTON MARSHALL, TIPPAH AND UNION COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI	LO-2	E
D-AFS-E65017-SC:	LAND USE PLAN, FRANCIS MARION NATIONAL FOREST, BERKELEY AND CHARLESTON COUNTIES, SOUTH CAROLINA (USDA-FS-R8-DES-ADM-77-10)	LO-2	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-AFS-G36053-NM:	EAGLE CREEK DAM AND RESERVOIR, LINCOLN AND OTERO COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
D-AFS-G65024-AR:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, OZARK NATIONAL FOREST, ARKANSAS	LO-1	G
D-AFS-J65061-MT:	LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN, BEAVERHEAD AND DEARLODGE NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65062-MT:	UPPER ROCK CREEK PLANNING UNIT, DEERLODGE NATIONAL FOREST, GRANITE COUNTY, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65064-MT:	LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN, PINKHAM-FORTINE-ALKALI PLANNING UNIT, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	LO-2	I
D-AFS-J65065-CO:	LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN, EAGLE-ASPEN UNIT, WHITE RIVER NATIONAL FOREST, EAGLE AND PITKIN COUNTIES, COLORADO	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J82000-00:	WESTERN SPRUCE BUDWORM MANAGEMENT PLAN	ER-2	I
D-AFS-L65028-OR:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, UMPQUA NATIONAL FOREST, LANE AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OREGON (USDA-FS-R6-DES-ADM-77-08)	LO-1	K
D-AFS-L65029-AK:	CHUGACH NATIONAL FOREST, NAKED ISLAND SALVAGE TIMBER SALE, ALASKA (USDA-FS-DES-ADM-77-02)	LO-1	K
D-AFS-L65030-AK:	CHUGACH MOOSE, FIRE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, CHUGACH NATIONAL FOREST, ALASKA (USDA-FS-R1-DES-77-07)	LO-1	K
DS-REA-J08003-WY:	CONSTRUCTION OF 115 KV TRANSMISSION LINE, TETON TO JACKSON, WYOMING	LO-2	I
D-SCS-E36044-MS:	BLACK CREEK WATERSHED, HOLMES COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	ER-2	E
D-SCS-G36052-OK:	KICKAPOO NATIONS WATERSHED, OKLAHOMA AND LINCOLN COUNTIES, OKLAHOMA	LO-2	G
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
D-UAF-J11000-UT:	F-16 BEDDOWN AT HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH	LO-2	I
D-USA-E11005-GA:	CHANGE OF MISSION, FORT STEWART COMPLEX, GEORGIA	LO-2	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-USN-A10047-00:	SEAFARER, ELF COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, SITE SELECTION AND TEST OPERATIONS	LO-2	A
D-USN-K10002-GU:	US NAVAL MAGAZINE, AMMUNITION PORT FACILITY, MARIANA ISLAND, GUAM	LO-1	J
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
DS-EPA-L08027-00:	FACILITY LOCATION EVALUATION, ELLENSBURG AREA SERVICE, KITTITAS COUNTY, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
D-BOR-C61002-NJ:	LIBERTY STATE PARK, LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION, JERSEY CITY, HUDSON COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	3	C
D-IBR-K07002-AZ:	CORONADO PROJECT, POWER PLANT, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA	LO-1	J
D-IGS-J01003-WY:	PROPOSED MINING AND RECLAMATION PLAN, EAST GILLETTE MINE, KERR-MCGEE CORPORATION CAMPBELL COUNTY, WYOMING	ER-2	I
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
D-CGD-D32007-MD:	SPECIAL ANCHORAGE AREAS, ELK RIVER, CECIL COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-1	D
DS-DOT-A52094-00:	PROPOSED RULEMAKING CONCERNING MOTOR VEHICLE OCCUPANT CRASH PROTECTION	ER-2	A
RD-DOT-A52110-00:	PASSENGER AUTOMOBILE AVERAGE FUEL ECONOMY	LO-1	A
D-FAA-D51009-WV:	GREENBRIER VALLEY AIRPORT EXPANSION, LEWISBURG, GREENBRIER COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-FAA-E51021-NC:	AIRPORT EXPANSION AND IMPROVEMENTS, ASHEVILLE AIRPORT, NORTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
DS-FHW-A42231-WI:	US 18 AND US 151, DODGEVILLE TO MOUNT HOREB ROAD, MOUNT HOREB BYPASS, IOWA AND DANE COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	LO-1	F
DS-FHW-A42421-MI:	MI-66 RELOCATION, PHELPHS ROAD TO NORTH SMITHVILLE, MISSAUKEE AND KALKASKA, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-FHW-C40031-NY:	CANANDAIGUA SOUTHWEST BYPASS, NY-5 AND NY-20, ONTARIO COUNTY, NEW YORK	ER-2	C
D-FHW-C40032-NJ:	NJ-23, I-80 TO NEW STREET, WAYNE TOWNSHIP, PASSAIC COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	ER-2	C

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE F COPIES O COMMENTS
D-FHW-D40043-PA:	LR 1021, SECTIONS 4, 5, AND 6, NORTH HILLS EXPRESSWAY, I-279, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	EU-2	D
D-FHW-E40104-NC:	I-77, US 74 CONNECTOR, INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD, CHARLOTTE, MECKLENBURG COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (FHWA-NC-EIS-76-13-D)	ER-2	E
D-FHW-E40105-NC:	ALBEMARLE TO SNUGGS STREET TO SALISBURY AVENUE AND CAROLINA AVENUE, US 52 TO NC-24 AND NC-27, STANLEY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40106-TN:	APPALACHIAN CORRIDOR J, SODDY-DAISY TO DUNLAP, HAMILTON, BLEDSOE AND SEQUATCHIE COUNTIES, TENNESSEE (FHWA-TN-EIS-76-4-D)	ER-2	E
D-FHW-E40107-NC:	WILMINGTON SOUTHEAST OUTER LOOP, SHIPYARD BOULEVARD TO US 17 NORTH, NEW HANOVER COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (FHWA-NC-EIS-76-16-D)	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40108-NC:	SMITH CREEK PARKWAY, US 117 TO US 74, WILMINGTON, NEW HANOVER COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA (NC-77-03-D)	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40087-IN:	US 41, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, NORTH CENTRAL CAMPUS TO US 20, LAPORTE COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40088-IN:	I-265, INTERCHANGE AT STATE STREET, FLOYD COUNTY, INDIANA	ER-2	F
D-FHW-F40090-IN:	STATE ROAD RELOCATION, IN-43, TIPPECANOE COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-1	F
D-FHW-G40058-AR:	I-630, I-430, I-30, PULASKI COUNTY, ARKANSAS	LO-1	G
D-FHW-H40069-MO:	ROUTE M, SPRINGFIELD, GREENE COUNTY, MISSOURI (FHWA-MOEIS-76-2-D)	3	H
D-FHW-H40070-IA:	LAKEPORT PROJECT, LINCOLN WAY BETWEEN GORDON DRIVE, SIOUX CITY, WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA (FHWA-IA-EIS-76-04-D)	LO-2	H
D-FHW-J40030-UT:	US 52 AND US 189, UTAH VALLEY TO HEBER VALLEY, UTAH AND WASATCH COUNTIES, UTAH	ER-1	I
D-FHW-L40049-ID:	I-90, COEUR D' ALENE EAST, KOOTENAI COUNTY, IDAHO (FHWA-ID-EIS-77-01-D)	LO-2	K
D-FHW-L40050-OR:	US 30, SCAPPOOSE SECTION, LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER HIGHWAY, MULNOMAH AND COLUMBIA COUNTIES, OREGON (FHWA-OR-EIS-77-01-D)	LO-2	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-UMT-C54001-NJ:	PORT AUTHORITY, NEWARK PLAINFIELD COORIDOR EXTENSION PROJECT, NEW JERSEY	LO-2	C
D-UMT-L54001-WA:	NORTH TRANSIT OPERATING BASE, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON	LO-2	K
ENERGY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION			
D-ERD-A00129-NV:	NEVADA TEST SITE, NYE COUNTY, NEVADA	LO-2	A
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-C99002-NY:	SOUTH WESTCHESTER EXECUTIVE PARK AND ACCESS ROAD, YONKERS, WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK	ER-2	C
D-HUD-E28021-TN:	DEKALB COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, THIRD YEAR CDBG PROGRAM, POTABLE WATER LINE, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-HUD-E85023-SC:	SANGAREE, PLANNED COMMUNITY, BERKELEY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA (HUD-RO4-EIS-77-02-D)	ER-2	E
D-HUD-E85024-GA:	MARTINS CROSSING SUBDIVISION, DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA (HUD-RO4-EIS-77-05-D)	LO-2	E
D-HUD-E85025-GA:	AMBERLY SUBDIVISION, DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA (HUD-RO4-EIS-77-06-D)	LO-2	E
D-HUD-G85031-TX:	BIG COUNTRY SUBDIVISION, BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85035-TX:	SOMMERALL SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85036-TX:	STERLING GREEN SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85037-TX:	MISSION BEND SUBDIVISION, HARRIS AND FORT BEND COUNTIES, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85038-TX:	CANDLERIDGE AND SOUTHRIDGE COMMUNITIES, TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85039-TX:	PARKWAY FOREST SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85041-TX:	WINGATE SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G89002-OK:	INDUSTRIAL UTILITIES, LAWTON, OKLAHOMA	LO-2	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION			
D-NAS-G12001-LA:	MICHOUD ASSEMBLY FACILITY, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA	LO-2	G
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
D-NRC-C06007-NY:	SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1, LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY, DOCKET NO. 50-322, LONG ISLAND, SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK (NUREG-0165)	ER-2	C
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY			
D-TVA-E08008-TN:	MAURY-SHELBY, 500 KV TRANSMISSION LINE, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-TVA-E08009-MS:	WEST POINT-MILLER, 500 KV TRANSMISSION LINE, WEST POINT, MISSISSIPPI	LO-2	E
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION			
D-VAD-C99003-NY:	NEW YORK NATIONAL CEMETERY, CALVERTON, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK	LO-1	C
D-VAD-D81003-VA:	PROPOSED REPLACEMENT HOSPITAL, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA	LO-2	D

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN MAY 1, 1977 AND MAY 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-A35145-NY:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE GOWANUS CREEK CHANNEL, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT THE DREDGED MATERIAL WILL COMPLY WITH THE OCEAN DUMPING CRITERIA DESCRIBED IN EPA'S FINAL REVISION OF REGULATIONS AND CRITERIA.	C
FS-COE-A42418-CA:	NEW MELONES LAKE, CAMP NINE ROAD RELOCATION, STANISLAUS RIVER, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE SUPPLEMENT TO THE FINAL EIS.	J
F-COE-E32011-AL:	THEODORE SHIP CHANNEL AND BARGE CHANNEL EXTENSIONS, MOBILE BAY, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA	EPA EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT WITH REGARD TO AN APPARENT CHANGE IN DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS FOR THE SPOIL ISLAND. EPA APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT WAS PREDICATED ON A SATISFACTORY ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTION FOR SPOIL DISPOSAL VIA-A-VIS THE SPOIL ISLAND WITH SOME BENEFITS TO BE ACCRUED WITHIN THE MOBILE BAY ECOSYSTEM. EPA DOES NOT KNOW IF THIS IS STILL VALID, SINCE EPA UNDERSTANDS SHEET PILE MAY NOW BE UTILIZED IN CONSTRUCTION WHICH WOULD REDUCE ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES CONSIDERABLY. CONSEQUENTLY, EPA RECOMMENDS THAT A SUPPLEMENT TO THE FINAL IMPACT STATEMENT BE PREPARED TO DESCRIBE THE DETAILS OF THE CONSTRUCTION CONCEPT OF THE ISLAND. THE SUPPLEMENT SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED UNTIL FINAL DESIGN IS COMPLETE AND ADDITIONAL FUNDING, IF DETERMINED NECESSARY, IS ARRANGED.	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-F32021-MI:	CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, IMPROVEMENTS, MICHIGAN	EPA'S COMMENTS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
F-COE-F36021-OH:	LAKE ERIE FLOOD CONTROL, POINTE PLACE, TOLEDO, OHIO	GENERALLY, EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT THIS PROJECT WAS NOT COMPLYING WITH THE SPIRIT AND INTENT OF EO 11296. EPA ALSO REQUESTED THAT THE COMMUNITY COMPLY WITH THE NATIONAL FLOOD PLAIN INSURANCE PROGRAM PRIOR TO ANY FURTHER DEVELOPMENT.	F
F-COE-F39002-IN:	CLARK MARITIME CENTER, JEFFERSONVILLE, CLARK COUNTY, INDIANA	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA BELIEVES THIS PROJECT AS PROPOSED WILL HAVE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE EFFECTS UPON WATER QUALITY AND AQUATIC LIFE IN A VALUABLE BACK CHANNEL AREA OF THE OHIO RIVER. CONSEQUENTLY, EPA HAS RECOMMENDED TO THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS THAT PERMITS BE DENIED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PORT FACILITY.	F
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
F-AFS-J65052-MT:	LAND MANGAGEMENT PLAN, PROSPECT PLANNING UNIT, LOLO NATIONAL FOREST, SANDERS COUNTY, MONTANA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	I
F-AFS-K61011-CA:	KINGS UNIT LAND USE PLAN, KLAMATH NATIONAL FOREST, SISKIYOU COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
F-EDA-F53004-MI:	PROPOSED EASTERN MARKET WHOLESALE DISTRIBUTION CENTER RAILROAD SPUR PROJECT, MICHIGAN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. EPA SUGGESTED THAT LOCAL OFFICIALS BE ENCOURAGED TO CONSIDER REGULATIONS THAT WOULD CONTROL LENGTH AND NUMBER OF TRAIN CROSSING AND TRAFFIC TO MINIMIZE CONGESTION.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
NF-FAA-E51019-GA:	CENTRAL AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL COMPLEX, WILLIAM B. HARTSFIELD AND ATLANTA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, ATLANTA, GEORGIA	EPA CANNOT PROPERLY EVALUATE THE TOTAL EFFECTS THE CENTRAL AIR TERMINAL WILL HAVE UPON THE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY UNTIL EVALUATION IS MADE OF THE AIR QUALITY DATA FROM THE STUDY OF AIR QUALITY RESULTING FROM THE TERMINAL PARKING FACILITY.	E
F-FHW-C40023-NJ:	NJ-169 AND NJ-440, BAYONNE BRIDGE, BAYONNE TO BAYVIEW AVENUE, HUDSON COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	C
F-FHW-E40070-TN:	TN-36, ROCK CREEK TO JOHNSON CITY, UNICOI, CARTER AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES, TENNESSEE	GENERALLY, EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA STILL HAS MANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THE NOISE IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	E
F-FHW-F40034-MN:	US 169, PRINCETON TO ONAMIA, SHERBURNE AND MILLE LACS COUNTIES, MINNESOTA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA QUESTIONED HOW THE NUMBER OF RESIDENCES ADVERSELY IMPACTED BY NOISE WAS REDUCED FROM 16 TO 1 WITHOUT ANY MAJOR DESIGN CHANGES.	F
F-FHW-F40042-WI:	WI-22, WAUTOMA AND WAUPACA ROAD, PORTAGE AND WAUPACA COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
F-FPC-E05006-SC:	BAD CREEK PUMPED STORAGE PROJECT NO. 2740, OCONEE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	GENERALLY EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA COMMENTED ON THE ADVERSE EFFECT OF THE STREAM HABITAT OF HOWARD CREEK BY SILT-LADEN RUNOFF FROM THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT. OF CONSIDERABLE CONCERN IS THE FACT THAT HOWARD CREEK IS THE ONLY STREAM IN SOUTH CAROLINA THAT SUPPORTS A TROUT SPAWNING RUN, AND THE LOSS OF THIS FISHERY IS VERY UNDESIRABLE.	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-GSA-B80004-MA:	JOHN F. KENNEDY LIBRARY, BOSTON, SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS (EMA-77001)	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA HAS REQUESTED THAT IF GSA INTENDS NOT TO PROVIDE THE SHUTTLE OR, ONCE ESTABLISHED, PLANS ARE DEVELOPED TO DROP THE SERVICE, EPA BE CONTACTED PRIOR TO SUCH ACTION.	B
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-C85011-NY:	COMMERCIAL COMPLEX, DOWNTOWN YONKERS, NEW YORK	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF CARBON MONOXIDE VIOLATIONS WHICH WILL BE THE SUBJECT OF A SUBSEQUENT STATE ACTION.	C
F-HUD-D85004-MD:	BOX HILL DEVELOPMENT BELAIR, HARTFORD COUNTY, MARYLAND	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	D
F-HUD-D85009-MD:	WHITE MARSH DEVELOPMENT, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	D
F-HUD-F85013-MN:	LORING 100 APARTMENTS, LORING PARK DEVELOPMENT, MINNESOTA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
F-HUD-F89002-IL:	SPRINGMORE STREETS AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, ILLINOIS	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
F-NRC-F06002-WI:	TYRONE ENERGY PARK, NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY OF MINNESOTA AND WISCONSIN, DOCKET NO. STN 50-484, DUNN COUNTY, WISCONSIN	EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS INDICATED CONCERN FOR THE HIGH CHLORINE LEVELS IN THE BLOWDOWN WATER. DESIGN CHANGES WERE MADE WHICH WOULD REDUCE THE CHLORINE LEVEL IN THE BLOWDOWN TO 0.07 MG/LITER. THIS LEVEL COMPLIES WITH THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND IS ACCEPTABLE TO EPA.	F

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN MAY 1, 1977 AND MAY 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
F-COE-G07009-AR:	WHITE BLUFF STEAM ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION, REDFIELD, ARKANSAS	G
F-COE-L36051-WA:	OKANOGAN RIVER URBAN LEVEE PROJECT, OMAK, WASHINGTON	K
F-COE-L39008-OR:	SOUTH BEACH MARINA, YAQUINA BAY SMALL BOAT BASIN, LINCOLN COUNTY, OREGON	K
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-D65002-PA:	OFF ROAD VEHICLE (ORV) POLICY, ALLEGHENY NATIONAL FOREST, PENNSYLVANIA	D
F-AFS-D82003-OO:	COOPERATIVE GYPSY MOTH SUPPRESSION AND REGULATORY CONTROL, 1977 ACTIVITIES	D
F-AFS-G65022-NM:	WESTERN SPRUCE BUDWORM SUPPRESSION AND EVALUATION, SANTA FE NATIONAL FOREST, NEW MEXICO	G
F-AFS-J65050-MT:	NINEMILE-MILL PLANNING UNIT, LOLO NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA (USDA-FS-R1(16)FES-ADM-76-20)	I
F-AFS-L61041-OO:	MULTIPLE USE LAND AND TIMBER MANAGEMENT, WILLAMETTE NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON AND WASHINGTON (USDA-FS-R6-FES(ADM)-75-19)	K
F-AFS-L61078-ID:	LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN, BOULDER PLANNING UNIT, KANIKSU NATIONAL FOREST, BOUNDARY COUNTY, IDAHO (USDA-FS-RI(04)-FES-ADM-76-22)	K
F-AFS-L61082-AK:	DEER ISLAND UNIT MANAGEMENT PLAN, ERNEST SOUND, TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST, ALASKA (USDA-FS-R10-DES(ADM)76-08)	K
F-AFS-L64005-AK:	AQUACULTURE FACILITIES, LOCATED AT SANDY BAY AND HIDDEN FALLS LAKE, ALASKA (FS-R10-FES-ADM-77-06)	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		
F-EDA-C69001-NY:	1980 WINTER OLYMPICS, LAKE PLACID, NEW YORK	C
F-DOC-E69001-TN:	KNOXVILLE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY EXPOSITION, 1982, KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE	E
F-NOA-L90010-CO:	IMPLEMENTATION OF A FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR "COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL SALMON FISHERIES OFF THE COAST OF WASHINGTON, OREGON, AND CALIFORNIA"	K
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR		
F-BPA-L08023-00:	FISCAL YEAR 1978 PROPOSED PROGRAM (FES 77-6)	K
FS-IBR-G07001-NM:	NAVAJO-EL PASO CONSOLIDATION COAL LEASE AND MINING PLAN, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	G
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		
F-DOT-A52102-00:	AVERAGE FUEL ECONOMY STANDARD FOR NONPASSENGER AUTOMOBILES, MODEL YEAR 1978	A
F-FAA-H51004-MO:	SIKESTON MEMORIAL AIRPORT, SCOTT COUNTY, MISSOURI	H
NF-FHW-A41741-FL:	FL-5 TO US 1, DANIA BEACH BOULEVARD TO SE 32ND STREET, FORT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
FS-FHW-A42175-NM:	TX-44, SOUTH OF SAN YSIDRO NORTH, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	G
F-FHW-B40017-VT:	US 2, MILTON TO COLCHESTER, CHITTENDEN COUNTY, VERMONT (FHWA-VT-EIS-76-01-F)	B
NF-FHW-B40036-FL:	FL-842, FT. LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
F-FHW-G40053-TX:	MIDLAND NORTH ARTERIAL LOOP FM 1369 AND I-20, MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-FHW-H40031-NB:	US 81 AND US 30, COLUMBUS AND VICINITY, PLATTE, POLK AND BUTLER COUNTIES, NEBRASKA	H
F-FHW-L40026-ID:	FOREST HIGHWAY ID-50, ST. JOE RIVER ROAD, CALDER TO AVERY, BENEWAH AND SHOSHONE COUNTIES, IDAHO	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION		
FS-FEA-G03004-LA:	STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE, WEST HACKBERRY SALT DOME, CAMERON PARISH, LOUISIANA	G
FS-FEA-G03007-LA:	BAYOU CHOCTAW SALT DOME, STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE, IBERVILLE, LOUISIANA	G
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
F-HUD-J24000-MT:	EASTSIDE NO. 2 SANITARY SEWER OUTFALL MAIN, HELENA, LEWIS AND CLARK COUNTY, MONTANA	I
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION		
F-ICC-H53000-00:	CHICAGO AND NORTH WESTERN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY ABANDONMENT BETWEEN NORFOLK, NEBRASKA AND WINTER, SOUTH DAKOTA	H
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		
FS- AEC-A06148-WA:	SKAGIT NUCLEAR POWER PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2, DOCKET NOS. STN 50-522 AND STN 50-523, SKAGIT COUNTY, PUGET SOUND POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, WASHINGTON	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		
F-USA-J10001-UT:	TRANSPORTATION OF CHEMICAL MATERIAL, OPERATION TNS, TOOELE ARMY DEPOT, NORTH AREA TO SOUTH AREA, UTAH	J
F-USA-J10000-UT:	TRANSPORTATION OF CHEMICAL MATERIAL, OPERATION DTS, DUGWAY PROVING GROUND TO TOOELE ARMY DEPOT, SOUTH AREA, UTAH	J

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN MAY 1, 1977 AND MAY 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
COUNCIL OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY			
R-CEQ-A86106-00:	40 CFR PART 1500, PREPARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS GUIDELINES, REQUEST FOR COMMENTS (42 FR 18111)	EPA SUPPORTS CEQ'S POSITION THAT EIS'S SHOULD NOT BE REQUIRED ON AGENCY COMMENTS OR REPORTS ON CONGRESSIONAL PROPOSALS. EPA MADE SEVERAL COMMENTS SUPPORTING THIS POSITION.	A
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
R-AFH-A86108-00:	7 CFR PART 1804, PLANNING AND PERFORMING DEVELOPMENT WORK, ASSISTANCE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENT (42 FR 15317)	EPA'S COMMENTS EXPRESSED THE VIEW THAT ALTHOUGH THE PROPOSED THERMAL STANDARDS CONTAINED IN THE REGULATIONS ARE A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION, EVEN MORE STRINGENT MINIMUM STANDARDS MAY BE APPROPRIATE IN LIGHT OF RISING FUEL PRICES.	A
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
R-CGD-A52113-00:	46 CFR PART 148, CARRIAGE OF SOLID HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IN BULK, PROPOSED CHANGES, TABLE OF PERMITTED CARGOES (CGD-76-198) (42 FR 17889)	EPA HAD NO OBJECTION TO THE PROPOSED RULEMAKING.	A
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
R-NRC-A09053-00:	10 CFR PART 70, SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIAL, PROPOSED GENERAL LICENSE FOR ROUTINE USE OF PLUTONIUM-238 POWERED CARDIAC PACEMAKERS, 10 CFR	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED RULEMAKING CONCLUDED THAT THERE IS A NEED FOR MORE SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION AND CLARIFICATION TO ADEQUATELY SUPPORT THE PROPOSED RULEMAKING.	A
A-NRC-A09054-00:	URANIUM MILLING, PROPOSED SCOPE AND OUTLINE FOR GENERIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED ACTION INDICATED NO OBJECTIONS. HOWEVER, EPA MADE SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED PROGRAM.	A

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit (PM-213)
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region 2
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region 3
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region 4
Environmental Protection Agency
345 Courtland Street NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region 5
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region 6
Environmental Protection Agency
1201 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75270

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region 7
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region 8
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region 9
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region 10
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $21.50 annually, with an additional $5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, (SSOS) Washington, D.C. 20402)

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

ENTER MY SUBSCRIPTION TO: 102 MONITOR (MNT)

@ $21.50 Domestic; @ $26.90 Foreign.

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT

(or) COUNTRY

☐ Remittance Enclosed (Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents)

☐ Charge to my Deposit Account No. .....

MAIL ORDER FORM TO:
Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402